FORTIFICATIONS APPROPRIATIONS BILL, 1921, HEARINGS; H. R. 13555

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

ISBN 9780649301706

Fortifications Appropriations Bill, 1921, Hearings; H. R. 13555 by Various

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd. Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

www.triestepublishing.com

VARIOUS

FORTIFICATIONS APPROPRIATIONS BILL, 1921, HEARINGS; H. R. 13555



SUBCOMMITTEE ON FORTIFICATIONS APPROPRIATION BILL

REED SMOOT, Utah, Chairman.

WILLIAM S. KENYON, lowa, FREDERICK HALE, Meine, SELDEN P. SPENCER, Missouri, TRUMAN H. NEWBERRY, Michigan. LEE S. OVERMAN, North Carolina, ROBERT L. OWEN, Orlahoma. CHABLES A. CULBERSON, Texas. WILLIAM J. HARRIS, Georgia.

KENNEDY F. REA, Clerk.

1

FORTIFICATIONS APPROPRIATION BILL, 1921.

THURSDAY, APRIL 22, 1920.

UNITED STATES SENATE, SUBCOMMITTEE OF COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS, Washington, D. C.

The subcommittée met, pursuant to notice, at 10 o'clock a. m., Senator Reed Smoot presiding.

Present: Senators Smoot (chairman), Overman, and Harris.

STATEMENT OF HON. FREDERICK W. DALLINGER, A REPRESENTA-TIVE FROM THE STATE OF MASSACHUSETTS.

Senator Smoot. You may proceed, Representative Dallinger. Mr. Dallinger. In the House, Representative Hull of Iowa and myself offered amendments to the first paragraph under "armament and fortifications" (p. 4, line 18), to increase the amount from \$1,500,000 to \$7,500,000; and on page 5, in the first paragraph under that same heading, increasing that amount from \$2,000,000 to

Senator Smoot. Was there an estimate for these increases!

Mr. Dallinger. I will state that I got the figures from the Ord-nance Department, and the basis of increase in appropriations over the amount reported by the House Committee on Appropriations was what was absolutely essential to maintain a skeleton organization

Senator Smoot. What I wanted to know was, was there an estimate

made for these increases?

Mr. Dallinger. There was an estimate made from the Army. Senator Smoot. I mean from the Secretary of the Treasury? Mr. Dallinger. They asked for a great deal more than that. I understand Gen. Williams asked for a great deal more than that, but these figures represented the amount absolutely essential to maintain a skeleton organization.

Now, Mr. Chairman, this is not because there is any desire on my

part to keep any men on the pay roll unnecessarily.

The Watertown Arsenal happens to be in my district, and, of course, there has got to be a very great further reduction in the force of employees in that arsenal. Everybody realizes that. During the war there were five or six thousand employees there. At present there are about 3,300, and in order to get back to a peace basis there will have to be a still further reduction.

All that I am interested in is to see the efficiency of the Military Establishment maintained. My position is this: Either the United States Government and Congress must assume that there is never

going to be another war, and do away with the War Department and Navy Department, and ought to sell these arsenals, in which the people have invested hundreds of millions of dollars in land, buildings, and equipment, and realize upon them and turn the money back into the Treasury and save the expense of maintenance, or else they ought to maintain those arsenals by maintaining a skeleton organization sufficient to carry on experimental work, so that the lessons of this Great War may not be lost, and to have the different kinds of munitions manufactured, so that the art of making these things and keeping the manufacture up to date may not be lost, and in order that there may be maintained at Watertown, as was planned and as is being done so far as can be done now, a school for ordnance officers.

If we are ever going to have any war in the future, or if we want to be prepared for emergencies, it is essential that there should be trained in the Ordnance Department officers who are capable of manufacturing munitions of war in Government arsenals and in

private plants.

There is no doubt whatever that we were unprepared in that respect at the outbreak of the late war, and in my opinion a tremendous saving could have been made if we had had the proper kind of training carried on to a sufficient extent to have trained a corps of Ordnance officers in sufficient numbers to have done this important work.

Now, of course you can not maintain a school for training Ordnance officers unless you have a plant to run, in the different parts. to some extent, and you can not carry on experimental work and keep the art of manufacturing these different kinds of ordnance up to date unless you carry on the manufacture to some extent.

Now, Col. Dickson, who was a brigadier general during the war and is now, as the result of the demobilization of the Army, a colonel, the commandant at the Watertown Arsenal, was asked to make an estimate of the smallest-number of employees at that arsenal that would be sufficient to maintain a skeleton organization, and he estimated about 1,800. I understand that the Ordnance Bureau here revised those figures and thought that they could maintain a skeleton organization with a force of 1,375.

Gen. Lord. That is correct.

Mr. Dallinger. And it was on that revised estimate that the figures which we offered in the House were based.

Now, so far as I can find out, what happened in the House committee was this: The estimates of the War Department were \$118,-

000,000 for this bill, if I recall correctly.

The House bill as reported was about \$18,000,000, and as nearly as I can find out, carrying out the platform of economy as a political matter, a slash was made of \$100,000,000. Of course, it is good politics. We have got to reduce because of the tremendous burden of taxation, and they were told, "you have got to reduce this bill \$100,000,000." So the bill was arbitrarily reduced from \$118,000,000 to \$18,000,000.

Now, Mr. Chairman, it appears to me that that is not a scientific way of getting at the thing, and all we tried to do in the House was

to raise that amount to about \$26,000,000.

As I said on the floor of the House at the time the matter was up, if they were simply trying to reduce expenditures and reduce

taxation, and were going to cripple the Military Establishment and make it impossible to maintain an efficient school for ordnance officers and to carry on this necessary experimental work and to do what ought to be done, the thing to do was to bring in no bill at all, to wipe out the whole \$18,000,000, and sell these arsenals and realize the money and put it into the Treasury. In other words, there is a point below which you can not go; and so far as I am concerned this is a question of reducing the estimates from \$118,000,000 to \$26,000,000 instead of \$18,000,000, as the House has done.

Senator Overman. Are you a member of the House Committee on

Appropriations?

Mr. Dallinger. No. sir. I am interested in this matter because

the Watertown arsenal is in my district.

Senator Smoor. Do you know anything about the amount of the balances on hand for the purpose of ordnance and fortifications?

Mr. Dallinger. No, sir. I understand the House bill provides

for turning that all back into the Treasury-over \$800,000,000.

Senator Smoot. No; I mean for this item alone. Mr. Dallinger. Not for this item alone; but for all the items. Senator Overman. Was there any attempt made on the floor of

the House to increase this amount?

Mr. Dallinger. Yes; we made an attempt on the floor of the House, but, as you know, Senator, it is almost impossible to beat the committee on the floor of the House. This is my third term here and I do not recall any time when the Appropriations Committee has been beaten on any material point.

Senator Smoot. You want the item for purchase, manufacture, and test of mountain, field, and siege cannon, including their carriages, sites, implements, equipments, and the machinery necessary for their manufacture, from \$1,500,000 to \$1,750,000 ?

Mr. Dallinger. No; to \$7,000,000. I want it increased \$6,000,000.

Senator Smoor. Oh, is that all?

Mr. Dallinger. I do not pretend to be an expert. The officers of the Ordnance Bureau are here, and they know the figures a great deal better than I do, and I do not pretend to know the details. I only know this, as I said before, that you have got to have a very much larger amount than that bill calls for in order to maintain a skeleton organization, and I think it would be a great shame to have that skeleton organization destroyed.

Senator Smoot. Will the details of this be discussed by you, Gen.

Lord?

Gen. Lord. By representatives of the Ordnance Bureau. Senator Smoot. Gen. Lord, we will hear from you now.

STATEMENT OF BRIG. GEN. HERBERT M. LORD, DIRECTOR OF FINANCE.

Gen. Lord. Mr. Chairman, I am submitting for the use of the committee a statistical history of this bill in photostat form.

In the first column of figures is the appropriation for 1920. In the next column is the original estimate printed in the Book of Estimates for 1921.

In the next column are the revised requirements as finally submitted to the House Committee on Appropriations at the time of the hearing, March 20, 1920.

The next column shows the amounts appropriated in the House bill, and the last column shows the revised requirements, which have the approval of the War Plans Division and the War Department for submission to this committee.

Senator Overman. Let me understand you, General. As I understand, taking the first line of figures, the House appropriated \$3,068,-

100 and you want \$3,251,145? Senator Smoor. That is for the Engineer Department alone.

Gen. Lord. That is for the Engineer Department alone, under the head of fortifications for continental United States.

Senator Overman. That is the increase you think is absolutely

necessary for the Engineer Department?

Gen. Lord. Yes. You will find in studying that table that in many

cases they ask for no increase.

Senator Smoot. The increase asked for the Engineer Department under that item is not \$3,251,145, but it is the difference between \$3,068,100 and \$3,251,145.

Gen. Lord, Yes.

Senator Overman. And that is true as to each item, that the increase asked for represents the difference between the two columns.

Gen. Lord. Yes. In the subsequent sections of this table, A, B, and

C, you will find the amounts subdivided and itemized.

On section A will be found the itemized estimates and statistical

history for continental United States.

Section B covers the insular possessions and section C the Panama

The table referred to is as follows:

Statement of estimates for 1921, fortification bill.

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
General objects.	Appropria- tion for 1920.	Estimate for 1921,	Revised require- ments, Mar. 20, 1920.	Reported by House Appropria- tions Com- mittee Apr. 9, 1920, and passed by House Apr. 13, 1920.	Revised require- ments, Apr. 20, 1920.
ortifications in the United States (for details see section A): Engineer Department. Chief of Coast Artillery. Signal Corps. Ordnance Department. Construction Division.	\$946, 250 150,000 3,880, 442 40,000	\$8,328,010 8,136,140 174,330 72,979,880 559,995	\$8, 255, 858 1, 699, 758 174, 330 37, 369, 636 240, 027	\$3,068,100 771,685 165,000 9,915,983 50,000	\$3, 251, 145 771, 685 165, 000 12, 558, 733 50, 000
Totals, continental United States	4,516,092	85,178,355	47, 739, 609	13,970,718	16, 796, 563
fortifications in insular possessions (for details see section B): Engineer Department Signal Corps. Ordnance Department Chief of Coast Artillery. Coastruction Division. Air Service.	273, 750 25, 000 1, 095, 000 225, 000	1,971,000 25,000 7,024,865 317,860 374,237 2,746,262	1, 335, 780 25, 000 4, 537, 960 273, 350 374, 237 2, 295, 147	554,760 25,000 713,980 175,000 108,300 1,300,200	1,164,760 25,000 713,939 175,000 106,300 1,360,000
Totals, insular possessions	1,618,750	12,468,614	8, 841, 454	2,877,190	3, 486, 990

FORTIFICATIONS APPROPRIATION BILL, 1921.

Statement of estimates for 1921, fortification bill-Continued.

. (1)	(2)	(8)	(4)	(5)	(8)
General objects.	Appropria- tion for 1920.	Est[mate for 1921.	Revised require- ments, Mar. 20, 1920.	Reported by House. Appropris- tions Com- mittee Apr. 9, 1920, and passed by House Apr. 13, 1920.	Revised require- ments, Apr. 20, 1920.
Fortification in Panama Canai (for details see section C): Engineer Department. Chief of Coast Artillery. Signal Corps. Ordnance Department. Construction Division. Air Service.	\$225,000 10,000 88,000 4,161,840	\$910, 250 474, 001 15, 000 11, 534, 494 96, 500 7, 057, 516	\$696, 450 474,001 45,000 2,245,777 96,800 6,132,823	\$108,750 474,000 15,000 1,108,684 40,000 239,100	\$664,750 474,000 15,000 1,106,684 40,000 2,738,798
Totals, Panama Canal	4, 523, 849	20, 087, 761	9, 654, 551	1,985,534	5,041,227
Board of Ordnance and Fortification		58, 500	58, 500		
Totals, fortification bill	10,659,291	117, 793, 330	66, 294, 114	18,833,442	25, 224, 780

[Section A.] CONTINENTAL UNITED STATES.

ENGINEER DEPARTMENT.					
Gun and mortar batteries. Modernizing older emplacements. Preservation and repetr of fortifications. Plans for fortifications. Supplies for seasoust defensee. Casemates, galleries, etc., for submarine	\$390,000 37,250 250,000 25,000 50,000	\$2,900,000 157,510 400,000 25,000 75,000	\$2,900,000 157,510 400,000 25,000 75,000	\$1,800,000 37,250 300,000 25,000 60,000	\$1,800,000 120,295 400,000 25,000 60,000
mines. Sites for furtifications and seacoast defenses. Land defenses. Lendurger and sound-ranging equipment.	1 480,000 60,000	850, 000 15, 000 20, 000	773, 848 49, 000	150,000 49,000 100	150,000 49,000 100
etc		8, 218, 500	3, 218, 500	566, 250	566, 250
tion Sea walls and embankments. Repair and restoration of defenses of Gal-	544,000	547,000	547,000	20,500	20, 500
veston, Tex Contingent expenses, seaconst for tifications.		100,000	10,000 100,000	10,000 50,000	10,000 50,000
Total.	946, 260	8, 328, 010	8, 255, 858	3,068,100	3, 251, 145
CHIEF OF COAST ARTHARBY,					
Fire control at fortifications		3, 134, 890 1, 250	1,698,508 1,250	770,000 1,685	770,000 1,685
Total		3,436,140	1,899,758	771,685	771,685
SIGNAL CORPS.					
Maintenance, etc., fire-control installations at sescoast defenses, Signal Service	150,000	174, 330	174,330	185,000	165,000
ORDNANCE DEPARTMENT.					
Armanent of fortifications: B.—Mountain, field, and siege cannon (purchase, manufacture, stc.)	**********	15,141,200	11,682,360	1,500,000	2, 712, 600
C-Mountain, field, and siege cannon (ammunition for)		1,755,000	1,756,000	1,600,000	2, 480, 200
DFG—Seasost cannon (purchase, manufacture, etc.) H—Seacost cannon (ammunition for). K—Seacost artillery practice (ammu-	855, 442	14,365,400 31,596,686	±5,937,800 11,505,883	2,000,000 1,000,000	2,000,000 1,000,000
nition, subcaliber guns, stc.)	1,000,000	955, 386	217,010	200,000	200,000
maintenance)	1,250,000	3,013,427	1, 197, 081	1,000,000	1,000,000

Statement of estimates for 1921, fortification bill—Continued. CONTINENTAL UNITED STATES—Continued.

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6
General objects,	Appropria- tion for 1920.	Estimate for 1921.	Revised require- ments, Mar. 20, 1920.	Reported by House. Appropria- tions Com- mittee Apr. 9, 1920, and passed by House Apr. 13, 1920.	Revised require- ments, Apr. 20, 1920.
ORDNANCE DEPARTMENT—continued.					
Armament of fortifications—Continued. L—Mobile artillery (alteration and maintenance). N—Mountain, field, and siege artillery practice (amountain, subsaliber		\$3,893,576	\$3,607,569	\$2,000,000	\$2,800,000
guns, etc.). Proving grounds, Army. Submarine mines:	\$100,000	105, 800 1, 563, 670	205, 800 1, 200, 000	205, 800 350, 000	205, 800 900, 000
A Procurement of material		528, 650	ļ		
for)	1 125,000	9, 233 50, 900	9, 283 50, 900	9, 233 50, 900	9, 233 50, 900
Total	3, 380, 442	72, 979, 880	237, 369, 636	9, 915, 933	12, 558, 733
CONSTRUCTION DIVISION. Barracks and quarters, seacoust defenses	40,000	559, 995	240, 027	50,000	50,000
AIR SERVICE.	90,000	000,000	and, train	100,000	30,000
(Extension of availability of current ap- propriations asked.) Total, continental United States	4, 516, 602	85, 178, 365	1 47,739,609	13,970,718	16, 796, 563

[Section B.] INSULAR POSSESSIONS.

1110011111110001101101							
ENGINEER DEPARTMENT.				Verese muos			
Fortifications in insular possessions: Preservation and repair of fortifica- tions—							
Hawaiisn Islands \$12,500 Phitippine Islands 35,000 Searchlights—	\$15,000 85,800	\$15,000 86,800	\$15,000 50,000	\$15,000 50,000			
Hawaiian Islands 23,000	50,000 2,000	50,000 2,000	50,000 2,000	50,000 2,000			
Supplies for seacoast defenses— Hawaiian Islands 5,000 Philippine Islands 25,000	7,000 25,000	7,000 25,000	.7,000 25,000	7,000 25,000			
Electrical installations— Hawaijan Islands 20,000		20,000		20,000			
Philippine Islands Casements, galleries, etc., for subma- rine mines-	4,000			**********			
Philippine Islands	102,000	74,000	55,000	55,00			
Hawalian Islands 3,250 Philippine Islands Land defenses—	113,200	113,200					
Hawaiian Islands. Philippine Islands. Sites for fortifications and seacoast	560,000 407,000	560,000	130,000	560,000			
Sites for fortifications and seacoast delense— Hawaiian Islands	250,000	206,760	25,760	205,760			
Plans for fortifications— Hawaiian Islands	3,000 3,000	3,000	20,245,553	3,000			
Philippine Islands Breakwater at Fort Mills, P. I Engineer wharf, Fort Mills, P. I	152,000	3,000	3,000				
Engineer whart, Fort Mills, P. 1 Seacoast batteries— Hawaiian Islands	75,000 50,000	75,000	75,000 50,000	75,000			
Philippine Islands	87,000	67,000	67,000	67,000			
Total	1,971,000	1,385,760	554,780	1, 164, 760			

Repeal of prior appropriation.

^{*} Additional contract authorization of \$183,600 asked.