THE EARLY HISTORY OF LAND-HOLDING AMONG THE GERMANS

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

ISBN 9780649566679

The Early History of Land-Holding among the Germans by Denman W. Ross

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd. Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

www.triestepublishing.com

DENMAN W. ROSS

THE EARLY HISTORY OF LAND-HOLDING AMONG THE GERMANS

Trieste

With regards anting

THE EARLY HISTORY

LAND-HOLDING AMONG THE GERMANS.

OF

DENMAN W. ROSS, PH. D.

L'idée formulée par les faits représente la science. GLAGOR BERRARD.

BOSTON: SOULE AND BUGBEE.

1888.

Copyright, 1883, Br DERMAN W. Ross.

25

5

UNIVERSITY PRESS: JOHN WILSON AND SON, CAMBRIDGE.

.

.

4

ti-

PREFACE.

1.1

-

This volume is the result of an investigation into the early history of land-holding among the Germans. The investigation was begun in the year 1875, and it has been continued, with occasional interruptions, since then.

The collections of early records were, most of them, read through. Passages bearing upon the subject of the investigation were noted. They were then carefully classified; passages establishing certain facts being grouped together. A general theory was then formed, to bring the facts thus collected into a natural order and relationship. This theory is now offered to readers and students for their consideration.

In order to reach a just judgment of the theory, three inquiries should be made: — 1. Are the passages of the records, which are described or referred to, correctly interpreted? 2. Have any passages in the records been overlooked, which are inconsistent with the theory offered? 3. Are the facts which have been ascertained well arranged and fully described?

PREFACE.

Although much labor has been spent, during a long period of time, in order to reach a truthful, consistent, and lucid statement, the result is still unsatisfactory to the writer, in many respects. It will be unsatisfactory to the reader also, without doubt. An entirely satisfactory statement still remains to be made. Perhaps it may never be made. The records are in many cases inconsistent; and in regard to certain points we have no records whatever; nor is there much chance of any being discovered.

Nevertheless, we believe that it will always be possible to advance in the knowledge and understanding of our subject. Perhaps the time may come when we shall no longer be able to gather new facts, though such a time must still be far off; but the time will never come when we can make an exhaustive general statement, which will involve no error of any kind. It will always be possible to make a more simple, a more lucid, a more truthful statement. It must be remembered that we advance in science not so much by presenting new views as by correcting old ones. In other words, we advance not so much by establishing our theories as by modifying them. Absolute truth is far away from us, and unattainable. The most we can do is to approximate towards it; and we do this by giving up the statement of to-day for another, which will bring facts a little more nearly into their true and natural relationship. We advance in this way very slowly, but surely;

iv

4

.

4

PREFACE.

having always something new to say involving the best of what has been said.

Consistently with this idea, we hope, after some years, to rewrite this book, or to write another book upon the same subject; to exclude all that has been said amiss, to include all that has been rightly said, and many things besides which ought to have been said. Instead of creating many things, we will perfect one if we can. As Balzac tells us in one of his letters, "Il faut refaire, recorriger, mettre tout à l'état monumental."

CAMBRIDGE, June, 1883.

i.

•

v

3.6

ă (d.

ł. 24 25

E.

ε.

CONTENTS.

1.2

THEORY .		•	•	٠			•	•	•	8			•	•	. 1–109
SOURCES OF	In	FOI	2M/	TIC	N	•	•	ł	•				•		111-122
NOTES AND	Re	FEF	EEN	CE	3.	÷	÷	•	•	ŝ	÷	5	•	•	122-252
LITERATURE	OF	TH	E	Su	BJE	ст	÷		: . .:	÷	•	2	•		252-264
INDEX		.	20		3 -1	•	3 5	я			٠	8	•	÷	265-274