

**WHAT DOES HISTORY
TEACH? TWO
EDINBURGH LECTURES**

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

ISBN 9780649534678

What Does History Teach? Two Edinburgh Lectures by John Stuart Blackie

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd.
Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

www.triestepublishing.com

JOHN STUART BLACKIE

**WHAT DOES HISTORY
TEACH? TWO
EDINBURGH LECTURES**

WHAT DOES HISTORY TEACH?



WHAT DOES HISTORY
TEACH ?

TWO EDINBURGH LECTURES

BY

JOHN STUART BLACKIE

Univ. of
CALIFORNIA

London

MACMILLAN AND CO.

1886

PREFATORY NOTE.

THE following Lectures were prepared for the Philosophical Institution of Edinburgh, and were delivered, with the exception of a few passages, before audiences consisting of Members of that Institution on the evenings of 8th and 11th December in the present year.

EDINBURGH, *December 1885.*

263257

I.

THE STATE.

**Ὡσπερ τελεωθὲν βέλτιστον τῶν ζῴων ἄνθρωπος οὕτω
καὶ χωρισθὲν νόμου καὶ δίκης χείριστον πάντων.—*
ARISTOTLE.

HISTORY, whether founded on reliable record, or on monuments, or on the scientific analysis of the great fossil tradition called language, knows nothing of the earliest beginnings. The seed of human society, like the seed of the vegetable growth, lies under ground in darkness, and its earliest processes are invisible to the outward eye. Speculations about the descent of the primeval man from a monkey, of the primeval monkey from an ascidian, and of the primeval ascidian from a protoplasmic bubble, though they may act as a potent stimulus to the biological research

of the hour, certainly never can form the starting-point of a profitable philosophy of history.

As revealed in history, man is an animal, not only generically different from, but characteristically antagonistic to the brute. That which makes him a man is precisely that which no brute possesses, or can by any process of training be made to possess. The man can no more be developed out of the brute than the purple heather out of the granite rock which it clothes. The relation of the one to the other is a relation of mere outward attachment or dependency—like the relation which exists between the painter's easel and the picture which is painted on it. The easel is essential to the picture, but it did not make the picture, nor give even the smallest hint towards the making of it. So the monkey, as a basis, may be essential to the man without being in any way participant of the divine indwelling *λόγος* which makes a man a man. The two are related only as all things are related, inas-