# THE MODERN READER'S BIBLE. WISDOM SERIES. ECCLESIASTICUS

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

#### ISBN 9780649567676

The Modern Reader's Bible. Wisdom Series. Ecclesiasticus by Richard G. Moulton

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd. Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

www.triestepublishing.com

## RICHARD G. MOULTON

## THE MODERN READER'S BIBLE. WISDOM SERIES. ECCLESIASTICUS



## The Modern Reader's Bible

Wisdom Series

**Ecclesiasticus** 



.

•

## THE MODERN READER'S BIBLE

A SERIES OF WORKS FROM THE SACRED SCRIPTURES PRESENTED IN MODERN LITERARY FORM

Ang. N. V. (1896) ECCLESIASTICUS

EDITED, WITH AN INTRODUCTION AND NOTES

ΒY

PROFESSOR OF LITERATURE IN ENGLISE IN THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO

With Both

MACMILLAN AND CO.

AND LONDON

1896

All rights reserved

Divinity School.

COPYRIGHT, 1896, By MACMILLAN AND CO.

Noticed Bress J. S. Cushing & Co. — Berwick & Smith Norwood Mass. U.S.A.

St (6)

### INTRODUCTION

THE series of books popularly known as the Apocrypha have been by an eminent scholar aptly styled Zwischenschriften or Intermediate Writings. To none of them does the term Intermediate apply with so much fulness as to that which is the subject of the present volume. Like the rest of the Apocrypha, Ecclesiasticus stands between the Old and New Testaments. Like the whole of the Books of Wisdom, it is intermediate between sacred and secular literature. In common with two other of these wisdom books it mediates between purely lewish thought and the spirit of the external world by which this was gradually leavened; and in the case of Ecclesiasticus what there is of external influence is, on the explicit authority of the Preface, to be put down to that Alexandrian literary circle which was the great link between Oriental and Western, between Ancient and Modern. In a yet more important sense the word has application: so far as Biblical Philosophy can be presented as a thing of development, Ecclesiasticus holds in that development a middle place. The Book of Proverbs is a series of isolated observations of life, universal wisdom being not analysed but adored. On the contrary Ecclesiastes and Wisdom, from however varied standpoints, agree in turning reflection on to the universe as a whole. Unlike these, the Book of Ecclesiasticus makes no attempt to investigate universal problems; its matter, apart from the hymns to Wisdom, is, like that of Proverbs, addressed to practical life; its Greek title makes it a Manual of Virtue or Panaretos, and a modern historian has described it as the sanctification of common sense. But, unlike Proverbs, its observations are not isolated, but digested into wider though still fragmentary surveys. The inquisitive spirit no longer satisfies; systematisation has not yet begun; between comes the arrangement of material under headings - like the 'topics' of the Aristotelian system - which is distinctly a middle stage in philosophic advance.

Here however a misunderstanding is to be avoided. To discuss the relation of this book to others as regards the development of the thought reflected in them is by no means the same thing as settling the chronological order in which the books were composed. The personal references in *Ecclesiasticus* are so distinct as to enable scholars, with practical unanimity, to fix the date of its composition as about 200 B.C. The decision of a date for *Ecclesiastes* is a *locus classicus* for disagreeing doctors; opinion on this topic has ranged over a thousand years, from the age of Solomon to the age of Herod the Great. But if the

### Introduction &

earliest date possible be taken for Ecclesiastes, this will not prevent its representing a later stage of philosophic thought than the other. The earlier stages of literary thought and form do not disappear when later stages take their rise; the two go on together side by side, each calling forth fresh representatives, just as the invaders of a country and the original inhabitants may settle down in it together. If Ecclesiastes be early and Ecclesiasticus late, this merely implies that the son of Sirach did not adopt the philosophic position of his predecessor, but remained in touch with the still earlier thought from which the Preacher bad made his divergence.

The enlarged thought needs new forms for its expression; poetic forms had sufficed for *Proverbs*, *Ecclesiasticus* supplements these with a literature of prose. I desire to be the more explicit in my explanation at this point, because I have departed very widely from previous editions in the form which the book presents to the eye. Hebrew verse depends, not upon mechanical matters such as rhymes or the numbering of syllables, but upon the parallelism of clauses. But this parallelism of clauses is, in universal literature, a feature of rhetoric. If then a language bases its verse system upon something which also belongs to prose, it is inevitable that in that language we should find an overlapping of verse and prose. The extreme of poetry and the extreme of prose will be as far apart in Hebrew as in other languages. But there