THE STATE, ITS HISTORY AND DEVELOPMENT VIEWED SOCIOLOGICALLY. [NEW YORK-1922]

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

ISBN 9780649711611

The State, Its History and Development Viewed Sociologically. [New York-1922] by Franz Oppenheimer & John M. Gitterman

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd. Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

www.triestepublishing.com

FRANZ OPPENHEIMER & JOHN M. GITTERMAN

THE STATE, ITS HISTORY AND DEVELOPMENT VIEWED SOCIOLOGICALLY. [NEW YORK-1922]

Trieste

THE STATE

OTHER BOOKS BY DOCTOR FRANZ OPPENHEIMER

Die Siedlungsgenossenschaft	12	•	•	•	1896
Grossgrundeigentum und Sozial	e Fra	ge	$\mathbf{\hat{s}}$	÷	1898
Das Grundgesetz der Marxschen	Gese	llscha	ftsleh	rc	1903
Robertus' Angriff auf Ricardos I und der Lexis-Diehl'sche Re					1908
David Ricardos Grundrententhe	eorle	÷.		,	1909
Theorie der Reinen und Politise	hen	Ökon	omie	×	1910

з,



ITS HISTORY AND DEVELOPMENT VIEWED SOCIOLOGICALLY

By

FRANZ OPPENHEIMER, M. D., PH. D. Professor of Political Science in the University

of Frankfort-on-Main.



9.11.23.

AUTHORIZED TRANSLATION

By

JOHN M. GITTERMAN, PH. D., LL. B. (Of the New York County Bar)

> NEW YORK B. W. HUEBSCH, Inc. MCMXXII

٩.

COPYRIGHT 1914 The Bobes-Merrill Company

COPYRIGHT 1922 B. W. HUEESCH, INC.

PRINTED IN U. S. A.

AUTHOR'S PREFACE TO THE SECOND AMERICAN EDITION

This little book has made its way. In addition to the present translation into English, there are authorized editions in French, Hungarian and Serbian. I am also informed that there are translations published in Japanese, Russian, Hebrew and Yiddish; but these, of course, are pirated. The book has stood the test of criticism, and has been judged both favorably and unfavorably. It has, unquestionably, revived the discussion on the origin and essence of the State.

Several prominent ethnologists, particularly Holsti, the present Minister of Foreign Affairs of the Finnish Free State, have attacked the basic principle formulated and demonstrated in this work, but they have failed, because their definition of the State assumed the very matter that required to be proven. They have brought together a large array of facts in proof of the existence of some forms of *Government* and *Leadership*, even where no classes obtained, and to the substance of these forms they have given the name of "The State." It is not my intention to controvert these facts. It is selfevident, that in any group of human beings, be it ever so small, there must exist an authority which determines conflicts and, in extraordinary situations, assumes the leadership. But this authority is not "The State,"

PREFACE

in the sense in which I use the word. The State may be defined as an organization of one class dominating over the other classes. Such a class organization can come about in one way only, namely, through conquest and the subjection of ethnic groups by the dominating group. This can be demonstrated with almost mathematical certainty. Not one of my critics has brought proofs to invalidate this thesis. Most modern sociologists, among whom may be named Albion Small, Alfred Vierkandt and Wilhelm Wundt, accept this thesis. Wilhelm Wundt, in particular, asserts in unmistakable language, that)"the political society (a term identical with the State in the sense employed in this book) first came about and could originate only in the period of migration and conquest," whereby the subjugation of one people by another was effected.

But even some of my opponents are favorably inclined to my arguments, as in the case of the venerable Adolf Wagner, whose words I am proud to quote. In his article on "The State" in the Handwörterbuch der Staatswissenschaften, he writes: "The sociologic concept of the State, to which I have referred, particularly in the broad scope and treatment of it given by Oppenheimer, deserves careful consideration, especially from political economists and political historians. The vista opened out, from this point of view, of the economic development of peoples and that of the State during historic times, should be attractive even to the opponents of the concept itself."

The "sociologic concept of the State," as Ludwig Gumplowicz termed it, is assured of ultimate general acceptance. Its opponents are strenuous and persever-

iv

ing, and I once called them "the sociologic root of all evil;" but the concept, none the less, is the basic principle of "bourgeoisie" sociology, and will be found of value in the study, not only of economics and history, but in that of Law and Constitutional History. I permit myself to make a few remarks on this point.

The earliest evidence of the recognition of the idea underlying the law of previous accumulation, may be traced back, at the latest, to the period of the decay of classical civilization, at the time when the capitalistic slave economy brought the city states to ruin as though their peoples had suffered from a galloping consumption. As in our modern capitalistic age, which resembles that period in many respects, there occurred a breach in all those naturally developed relations in which the individual has found protection. What Ferdinand Tocnnics calls the "community bonds" were loosened. The individual found himself unprotected, compelled to rely on his own efforts and on his own reason in the seething sea of competition which followed. The collective reason, the product of the wisdom of thousands of years of experience, could no longer guide or safeguard him. It had become scattered. Out of this need for an individual reason, there arose the idea of nationalism. This idea had its justification at first, as a line of development and a method in the newly born science of social government; but when later it became what Rubenstein (in his work Romantic Socialism) calls a "tendency," it was not justified. The community, to use Toennies' term, changed into a "society." ["Contract" seemed to be the only bond that held men together-the contract based on the purely ration-