

# **LETTERS ON REASONING**

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

ISBN 9780649631568

Letters on Reasoning by John M. Robertson

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd.  
Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

[www.triestepublishing.com](http://www.triestepublishing.com)

**JOHN M. ROBERTSON**

**LETTERS ON  
REASONING**



LETTERS ON REASONING

*BY THE SAME AUTHOR.*

ESSAYS TOWARDS A CRITICAL METHOD.  
NEW ESSAYS TOWARDS A CRITICAL METHOD.  
MONTAIGNE AND SHAKSPERE.  
BUCKLE AND HIS CRITICS: a Sociological Study.  
THE SAXON AND THE CELT: a Sociological Study.  
MODERN HUMANISTS: Studies of Carlyle, Mill,  
Emerson, Arnold, Ruskin, and Spencer, with an Epilogue on Social Reconstruction.  
THE FALLACY OF SAVING: a Study in Economics.  
THE EIGHT HOURS QUESTION: a Study in Economics.  
THE DYNAMICS OF RELIGION: an Essay in English Culture-History. (By "M. W. Wiseman.")  
A SHORT HISTORY OF FREETHOUGHT, Ancient and Modern.  
PATRIOTISM AND EMPIRE.  
STUDIES IN RELIGIOUS FALLACY.  
AN INTRODUCTION TO ENGLISH POLITICS.  
WRECKING THE EMPIRE.  
CHRISTIANITY AND MYTHOLOGY.  
A SHORT HISTORY OF CHRISTIANITY.

# LETTERS ON REASONING

BY  
*John M. Robertson*  
JOHN M. ROBERTSON

[ISSUED FOR THE RATIONALIST PRESS ASSOCIATION, LIMITED]

LONDON  
WATTS & CO.  
17, JOHNSON'S COURT, FLEET STREET, E.C.

---

1902

2/18/15 M.A.J.

Revised 4-4-34 M.T.T.

CONTENTS

PROLEGOMENA

The drawbacks of conventional logic—These the motive for the *Logique de Port Royal*—Arnauld's experience—Value of his treatise—Condillac's new departure—Both protests implicit in Descartes and in Bacon—Lionardo—Roger Bacon—Ultimate conventionalisation of new methods—Bain on that of Bacon—Arnauld and Condillac no longer efficient—Admissions as to scholastic logic—Whately and Mill—Bain—Ueberweg—Bain on the Aristotelian treatment of fallacies—Minto on Aristotle's aim—Need for preliminary exercise in reasoning before resort to abstract forms—Lesson from the cases of Mill and Jevons—Jevons's protest against the discrediting of logic—His miscarriages—Mr. Alfred Sidgwick's warnings—Justification of a new experiment—Personal experience—Drawbacks of academic conditions—Need to tread real grounds of debate, and for precaution in treading them—Limitations of Descartes, Arnauld, and Bacon—Grant need for new approaches, and we may grant the claims of the technicians—Reply to Ueberweg—The protest of Kant—Syllogistic figures justifiable, but not as practical exercise in reasoning—Academic drawbacks seen in the case of Venn—Exclusion of vital problems—Abstract philosophy should come after formation of many judgments.

Pp. xv-xxviii.

LETTER I.

Motive in writing—The rational and the æsthetic life to be alike cultivated—Study of history—Importance of right reasoning in all matters—The education of women—Fallacious objections to the use of "reason" and "logic"—Such fallacies blundering appeals to reason—The errors of reason to be corrected by more reasoning—Bad and good reasoning—Common toleration of the former—Relation of consistent thought to consistent action—Consistency the basis of right action—The relations of logical perception and moral impulse—Intellectual inconsistency is intellectual



immorality—The study of technical logic—Relation of logic to the processes of reasoning as that of grammar to language—Fallacious notions of "logic"—Macanlay's notion—Analysis of his proposition on the subject—Logical compromise and the pursuit of the ideal—Logic and emotion—and imagination—Effect of special studies on the general reasoning powers—Comment of Professor Jevons—Sample of a formal fallacy—Professor Minto on strict deductive logic—All-round mental hygiene—To be sought by both sexes ... .. Pp. 1-16.

## LETTER II.

Errors arising from false testimony—The need to doubt—The fallacy of fearing to doubt—Doubt in the economy of Nature—The fallacy of imputing "unbelief"—Our primary bent to credulity—Professor Minto's acceptance of a false generalisation—Race characteristics—The manner of false generalisations—Similar acceptance of error by Professor Clifford—Despite his censure of uncritical belief—The need for vigilance—Prejudices of learning and prejudices of ignorance—All beliefs open to revision—Doubt therefore to be welcomed—This not the way to live in hesitation but to escape the most painful hesitations—The gain from doubt—Severe intellectual standards to be applied to ourselves first—Thought and life—Ignorant inaction and instructed activity—The pleasures of thought ... .. Pp. 17-29.

## LETTER III.

Snares of prejudice—The play of "will"—In believers and unbelievers—Carnal inclination primary—Difficulty of (a) candour and (b) sincerity—At bottom one difficulty—Honesty the best policy in reasoning—The bane of self-contradiction—Ueberweg's avowal—Modes of uncandid argument—The meaning of "sincerity"—An etymological hint—Insincerity the moral aspect of inconsistency—Fallacious notion of consistency as constancy—Error and culpability—Rarity of intellectual honesty—Perverting power of malice—The physiological bias to misrepresentation—Primary maxims for disputants—Abstract logic and concrete judgment—Importance of information and study—Problem of the historicity of Jesus—Progressive modification of confidence in historic narratives—Infirmities of reasoning in men of science—Drawbacks of one-sided culture—Case of Dr. James Croll—Effect of health and other circumstances on departments of men's judgment—Croll and Edwards—Knowledge a matrix for opinion—The general moral. Pp. 30-46.

## LETTER IV.

The right to have an opinion—Influence of authority—First-hand and second-hand opinion—Provisional assent—The dogmatism of ignorance—Authority in the field of special knowledge—Morals and religion not provinces of special knowledge—The claim for authority in religion—Argument of Cardinal Newman—Normal collapse of the authoritarian position—The meaning of believing only what we understand—We *can* believe only the propositions that we understand—The doctrine of trinity in unity—Its origin—Sacerdotalism and error—Anomalies of the method of authority—Authority in ethics—The impossibility of imposing wisdom by authority—Unwisdom to be modified only by the effort of thinking—Authority in matters of taste—Admiring to order—The mean between obstinacy and flightiness ... .. Pp. 47-60.

## LETTER V.

Errors of logical oversight—Case of transformation of terms—Sample from Professor Jevons: disregard of a posited definition—The probable explanation: defect of verbal faculty—Jevons's verbal miscarriages—Transition from verbal error to real fallacy—Transformation of terms under stress of prejudice—Sample from Professor Robertson Smith—The temptations of the platform—Hegel—His self-contradictions—The theological motive—Varying use of the terms God and Reason—The illicit transition from the process of reasoning to the process of Nature—Hegel's self-contradictory treatment of the problem of Evil—His personal equation—The Will-to-comprehend and the Will-to-live—The problem of Evil insoluble on theistic lines—Optimistic sophisms—The projection of self into the cosmos—Moral dangers of the theistic method—Theological cruelty—Curiosity to be cherished even if not to be satisfied ... .. Pp. 61-76.

## LETTER VI.

Fallacies of verbal confusion—Opinions about opinion-making—Case of Mr. W. E. H. Lecky—His merits and defects as a historian—False developments from a true proposition—The conditions of intellectual change—Belief one of the intellectual conditions—Fallacy of supposing that great changes of belief occur without argument—Influence of the philosophers—Mr. Lecky's self-contradictions—His notion of logic—Distinction between no-judgment and non-independent judgment—Mr. Lecky re-contradicts himself—His notion of the "collective wisdom of mankind"—The laws

of average opinion-making—Use of the word Rationalism—Its meanings—Montaigne—Misconception of his character and development—His and Charron's risks and popularity—The belief in witchcraft—Shakspeare's supposed acquiescence in it—How the belief was discredited—Mr. Lecky's theory of silent scepticism—Resulting manipulation of historical facts—Practical applications of the formula of "silent change"—The formula of "ideas in the air"—Confronted by facts—True process of intellectual change—The case of abolition of torture—Beccaria's movement—Mr. Lecky's efforts to save his formula—Resulting historical misstatements—Torture in England—False distinction between emotional and intellectual movements—Emotion accrues to all judgments—The opposition to Beccaria as Utopian—Effects of such opposition—The phenomena of intellectual conservatism—Astrology—Gabriel Naudé—Mr. Lecky's admissions and further contradictions as to the influence of Descartes—The general lesson of such error : need for self-criticism ... .. Pp. 77-101.

## LETTER VII.

The logical problem of "chance"—Professor Minto's treatment of chance as one of many "causes"—Meaning of the term "chance"—Illustrations—We drop the term where we have divined manner of causation—Games of chance—"Probability logic" and "laws of chance"—Argument of Mr. R. A. Proctor—His fallacy—Practical nullity of the numerical "law of chance"—Dr. Venn's Treatise—His rebuttal of the thesis that "probability" means merely our degree of expectation—His self-contradiction—The statistical estimate of chances in terms of wide experience—This a different conception—The confusion of chance with non-causation—Hume's contradictions in terms—Futility of the formula "there is no such thing as"—Hegel's lapse into fallacy—Inconsistency of his disciples—The labour of exact thinking ... .. Pp. 102-114.

## LETTER VIII.

The logical problem of "design"—Transition from the fallacy "*not* by chance" to the fallacy "designed by an Infinite Person"—Fallacy of the watch argument—Its negation of design in the desert to prove design in the watch—Logical correctness but practical inutility of the proposition that the order of Nature is a matter of chance—Confusion of the argument from a bag of letter-blocks—Similar procedure yields phenomena such as are recognised as part of natural order—Theistic argument from the "machine" to