# JURISDICTION: ITS EXERCISE IN COMMENCING AN ACTION AT LAW

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

ISBN 9780649402526

Jurisdiction: Its Exercise in Commencing an Action at Law by Joseph H. Vance

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd. Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

www.triestepublishing.com

## JOSEPH H. VANCE

# JURISDICTION: ITS EXERCISE IN COMMENCING AN ACTION AT LAW

Trieste

## JURISDICTION;

24

.

52

ITS EXERCISE IN

### COMMENCING AN ACTION AT LAW.

вт

JOSEPH H. VANCE.

ANN ARBOR, MICH. : 'THE ARGUS BOOK AND JOB ROOMS. 1890. Entered according to Act of Congress, in the year 1800, by JOSEPH H, VANCE,

- 38

.

in the Office of the Librarian of Congress, at Washington, D. C.

201

#### CONTENTS.

.

- 1. Source of jurisdiction.
- 2. Jurisdiction defined: Is original and concurrent.
- 3. Judicial power.
- Courts are distinguished (1) as courts of general jurisdiction; (2) as courts of special, limited jurisdiction.
- 5. When a court of general jurisdiction is considered as a court of limited jurisdiction.
- 6. The elements of jurisdiction.
- 7. Jurisdiction of the subject-matter.
- 8. Jurisdiction of the person.
- Points to be determined before commencing an action at law.
- 10. Process.
- 11. Summons: Form of, and analysis; statutory directions mandatory.
- 12. At what time action is considered as commenced.
- 13. To whom process should be issued.
- 14. How service must be made.
- 15. Service on corporations.
- 16. Service on corporations: General rule by statute.
- Service on corporations: Rule in the courts of the United States.

### 343439

#### CONTENTS.

- 18 Attempt to avoid service of process.
- 19. Time within which process must be served.
- 20. Where service must be made.
- Service of process on joint debtors some of whom are nonresidents of the county.
- 22. Officer serving his own process,
- 23. When process must be returned.
- 24. Return defined.
- 25. Object of return.
- 26. Return as evidence.
- 27. Return may be amended.
- 28. Abuse of process.
- 29. Civil jurisdiction cannot be acquired by use of criminal process,
- 30. Appearance defined.
  - may be voluntary, compulsory, or gratis.
- 31. Appearance may be general or special.
- 32. Special appearance.
- 33. Defendant may confer jurisdiction by waiving a right.
- 34. Appearance by attorney.
- 35. Appearance of infants.
- Appearance for and by corporations and by the government.
- Plea to the jurisdiction must be made a first defense: this rule of the common law changed by statute in some States.
- Withdrawal of plea to the merits does not divest court of jurisdiction.

iv

#### TABLE OF CASES CITED.

•2

-	-	_	-	1	-	-	-
		4	2				

PAGE

Ableman v. Booth, 21 How. 506,	12	343	32	. 5,	30
Abdil v. Abdil, 26 Ind. 287,	10	•3	28	<b>e</b> :	55
Adams v. Cowles, 95 Mo. 503, .	÷	-	1.2		2
Adams v. Freeman, 9 John, 117,	8		1	1	80
Adriance v. Lagrave, 59 N. Y. 110,	¥2)	-	52	<b>3</b> 0 (	45
Alderman v. Tirrell, 8 Johns, 418,	÷.	65		85	55
Alexander v. Stewart, 23 Ark, 18,	е. •	100			89
Allen v. Lee. 6 Wis. 478, .	ž.	1		÷ 1	49
Allor v. Wayne County, etc., 43 Mich.	76.	122.0	12	13	15
Ames v. Winsor, 19 Pick, 247,	83		8		49
Anderson v. Coburn, 27 Wis. 558,			۰۵ ۱۰		11
Andrews v. Wheaton, 23 Conn. 112,			2	8	13
Arding v. Flower, 8 Term, 534,	11		23	39, 42,	43
Arnold v. Tourtellot, 13 Pick, 172,	**	234		26,	
Atchison, etc., R. R. Co. v. Nichols, 8	Colo.				49
Attorney General v. Moliter, 26 Mich.			2	8	14
Avery v. Slack, 17 Wend. 85,	e <sup>11</sup>	19	12	80	49
B.					
Bacon's Abr. tit Treanses 450					146

Bacon's Abr., tit. Trespass, 450,			4	4	36
Baker v. Wales, 45 How. Pr. 137; 1	4 Abb.	Pr. (N	S.1. 33	1.	40
Ballenger v. Elliott, 72 N. C. 596,	**		:#:		42
Bank v. Curtiss, 1 Hill, 275, ,		12			25
Bank v. Railroad Company, 28 Vt.	470.		S.	-	8
Barnes v. Thompson, 2 Swan, 313,	82	69	38		24

31 (F

\*

#### TABLE OF CASES CITED.

Barrett v. Crane, 16 Vt. 246, Barton v. Barbor, 104 U. S. 126,	÷:				
			- 28	1.20	в
10 i Tri i 11 co i 1	30	0.00	236	*2	4
Bates v. Kimball, 2 Chip. 71,		4	1	1	7
Bearshears v. Warner, 5 Sneed, 676,	÷	2.3	43	200	35
Beckwith v. Kan. Cen. & O. R. R. Co	0., 28 1	Kan. 484		÷	49
Beebe v. State, 6 Ind. 515,	•				7
Bennett v. Faller, 4 John 486, .		1		4	33
Bennett v. Nichols, 12 Mich, 22,	23			2	14
Bently v. Kirk, 1 Baxt. 385,	2	1340		82	35
Bischoff v. Wethered, 9 Wall, 812,	¥.,				30
Bishop v. Nelson, 83 Ill. 601.	- 2		÷.	- 3	15
Blaisdell v. Steamboat, 19 Mo. 157,	88	24.5	÷.		39
Blight v. Fisher, Pet. Cir. Ct. Rep. 4	1		æ		-40
Blinn v. Campbell, 14 John, 432,		83			14
Bloom v. Burdick, 1 Hill, 189,	8			2	20
Board of Comm's, The, v Markle,	AG Ind				12
Booraem v. Wheeler, 12 Vt. 131.					40
Bostwick v. Perkins, 4 Ga. 47,	86	23	38	62	14
Bowbee v. Grills, I Dick. 38,				2	48
Boykins v. Edwards, 21 Ala. 261,					32
Bradley v. Jamison, 46 Ia. 68,		1.1	14 14	<b>3</b> 5	53 11
이 이렇게 많은 것은 것 같아요? 이 것 것은 것은 것 것 것 것 같아요? 것 것 같아요? 것 것 같아요? ㅠㅠ 것 것	88	(•)	35	ŧ	
Branner v. Chapman, 11 Kan. 118,	10	8 <b>.</b>	2	- 25	49
Bridges v. Sheldon, 7 Fed. Rep. 17,				•	42
Bridgton v. Bennett, 23 Me. 429,	<b>1</b> 2	6 <b>9</b>		12	54
Britten, ex parte, 4 Jur. 943,	<b>8</b> 7	<u>i</u>	18	1	42
Bromley v. Holland, 5 Ves. Jr. 2,	5	100	15	53	42
Brooks v. Delaplane, 1 Md. Ch. 351,	8	1		411	3
Brooks v. Farwell, 4 Fed. Rep. 166,	÷.	640	90	<u>8</u> 8	43
Brown v. Baker, Port. (Ala.) 503,	35	122	20	±3	35
Brown v. Gordon, 1 Greenl. 165,				- 60	33
Brown v. Thompson, 29 Mich. 72,	Brees		S.	*1	49
Brownell v. Troy, etc., Ry. Co., 3 Fe	ed. Re	p. 761,		88	29
Bruhn v. Jefferson Bank, 54 Tex. 15	2,	0350	:25	8)	11
Buck v. Sherman, 1 Doug. (Mich.) 1	76,	2.25	14	1	20
Buckley v. Lowry, 2 Mich. 418,	1	(3)	55	<b>\$</b> 2	13
Bull v. Clark, 2 Met. 587.	80	1.00	13	83	37
Buller's Nisi Prius, 23, .	*	1.80	æ		38

vi

- 62

TABLE OF CASES CITED.

					PAGS
Burckle v. Eckhart, 3 Comst. 132,	13	( <b>*</b> )		230	14
Burdette v. Corgan, 26 Kan. 102,			¥.2		48, 49
Burson v. Huntington, 21 Mich 41		12		12	52
Burton v. Barton, 45 Hun. 68,	985 <u>5</u> 2	- 15	1	12	31
Bush v. Hansom, 70 Ill. 480,	52		19	38	2
Bush v. Meacham, 53 Mich. 574,	80		•		32
Byler v. Jones, 79 Mo. 261,		1	13		45, 57
Byne, ex parte, 1 Ves. & B. 816,	34		¥5	89	39
σ.					
Campbell v. Galbreath, 5 Watts, 42	28	4	- 20	32	54
Cannon, Matter of, 47 Mich. 482,	100. See	342	18	22	41.42
Cardwell v. Sabichi, 59 Cal. 490.	-	a.	¥.3		9
Carlker v. Anderson, 27 Ill. 358.	128		192	117	10
Carleton v. Washington Insurance	Co., 3	N. II.		읕	10
Carver v. Shelley, 17 Kan. 472,	432	142		22	48
Cavender v. Heirs, etc., 5 Iowa, 15	7		£11	37	55
Cerro Gordo County v. Wright Cou	unty, 59	lowa,	485.		14
Chamberlain v. Mammoth, etc., 20	Mo. 96	i '		1	27
Chambers v. Thomas, 3 A. K. Mar	sh, 586,	æ	20	22	32
Charles City, etc., The, v. Jones, e	tc., 71	Iowa, 2		0.8	87
Charnock v. Colfax, 51 Iowa, 70,			1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 -	14	11
Chesterfield County v. Hall's Exec	., 80 V	a. 321,		- 22	10
C. B. & Q. R. R. Co. v. Chamberla	in, 84 I	11. 333,		27	12
Chichester v. Conde, 3 Cow. 39,			+::		35
Cincinnati R. R. v. Commrs. Clint	on Con	nty, 1 (	hio St.		7
City of Brownsville v. Basse & Ho.	rd, 43 'I	ex. 440	1. 2/		2
City of St. Louis v. Gleason, 93 Mc	33.		100-07		12
Clark v. Adams, 33 Mich. 159,			+0		11
Clark v. Barnard, 108 U. S. 436.	8	÷.	4.1	1	56
Clark v. Lichtenberg, 33 Mich. 307	7, .	36	<b>\$</b> 2	- 24	81
Clark v. Grant, 2 Wend. 257,		8	80	(9 <b>4</b>	41
Clark v. Holliday, 9 Miss. 711.					54
Clark v. Thompson, 47 Ill. 25,	8		1	1	10
Clark v. Willett, 85 Cal. 584,	÷.	4	÷2	09	54
Clary v. Hoagland, 6 Cal. 685,	59 F	25	85	194	5
Claussen v. Pultz, 13 S. C. 475, .					11

vii

1