UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS BULLETIN. NO. 2205. FEBRUARY 1, 1922. THE NEGRO IN TENNESSEE, 1790-1865

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

ISBN 9780649095490

University of Texas Bulletin. No. 2205. February 1, 1922. The Negro in Tennessee, 1790-1865 by Caleb Perry Patterson

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd. Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

www.triestepublishing.com

CALEB PERRY PATTERSON

UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS BULLETIN. NO. 2205. FEBRUARY 1, 1922. THE NEGRO IN TENNESSEE, 1790-1865



The benefits of education and of useful knowledge, generally diffused through a community, are essential to the preservation of a free government.

Sam Houston

Cultivated mind is the guardian genius of democracy. . . . It is the only dictator that freemen acknowledge and the only security that freemen desire.

Mirabeau B. Lamar

CONTENTS

refa	ce		7- 8
I.	Introduc	tion of Slavery into Tennessee	9- 24
	I.	The status of the negro in North Carolina,	
		1693-1790	12- 21
		A. Privileges	12- 18
		B. Restrictions	18- 21
	II.	The status of the negro in the Franklin	
		State, 1785-1788	22- 23
	III.	The status of the negro in the Southwest	
		Territory, 1790-1796	23- 24
11.	The Stat	tus of the Slave in Tennessee, 1796-1865	25- 58
	I.	The Privileges of Slaves	25- 30
		A. Hunting	25- 26
		B. Travel	26
		C. Suits for freedom	26- 28
		D. Trial by Jury	28- 30
	II.	Disabilities of Slaves	30- 33
	III.	Relations of Master and Society	34- 38
		A. Liabilities of the master to society	34- 36
		1. For his own acts	34- 35
		2. For the acts of his slaves	35- 36
		B. Liabilities of society to the master	36- 38
	IV.	The Patrol System	38- 41
	v.	Special Problems of Slave Government	41- 52
		A. The runaway	41- 43
		B. Importation of slaves	43- 44
		C. The stealing of slaves	44- 45
		D. Trading with slaves	46- 49
		E. Insurrections	49- 50
		F. Unlawful assembly of slaves	50- 51
		G. Punishment of slaves	51- 52
	VI.	Title of Slaves	52- 55
	VII.	The Law of Increase	55- 56
	VIII.	The Legal Status of the Slave	
111.	Econom	ics of Slavery in Tennessee	59- 79
77.7	I.	Slavery an Expression of the Soil	59- 64
	II.	The Management of the Plantation	64- 72
	III.	Was Slavery Profitable in Tennessee?	72- 79
IV.	Anti-Sla	very Societies	80-101
100	I.	The Tennessee Manumission Society	80- 89
	II.	The Humane Protecting Society	89
	III.	The Emancipation Labor Society	89- 91
	111.	The Emancipation Labor Society	89- 91

Contents

	IV.	The Moral, Religious Manumission Society	
		of West Tennessee	91- 94
	v.	The Tennessee Colonization Society	94-101
V.	The Rel	igious and Social Aspects of Slavery	102-152
	I.	The Methodists	
	II.	The Baptists	125-131
	III.	Cumberland Presbyterians	131-136
	IV.	The Friends	
	V.	The Presbyterians	
	VI.	The Episcopalians	
VI.	The Leg	al Status of the Free Negro	153-175
	1.	The Establishment of a Policy	
		A. The policy of North Carolina	153
		B. The policy of Tennessee in 1831	
		C. Changes in the policy from 1831 to	
	п.	System of Registration of Free Negroes	
	III.	Protection of Free Negroes	
	IV.	Suffrage for Free Negroes	102
	1.4.		
		A. In North Carolina B. In the Convention of 1796	
		C. From 1796 to 1834	
		D. Its abolition by the Convention of	
		1834	
	V.	Limitations upon the freedom of free	
	1222	negroes	
	VI.	The Status of the Free Negro	
VII,	Abolitio		
	1.	Private Abolition	176-180
		A. Methods	176-179
		(1) By Deed.	
		(2) By Will.	
119		(3) By Bill of Sale.	
	5	(4) By Implication.	
		(5) By Effect of Foreign Laws.	
		B. Extent of Emancipation in Tennessee	
	П.	Anti-slavery Leaders	180-185
	III.	Abolition Literature	
	IV.	Petitions to the Legislature for Abolition	
	v.	Abolition in the Convention of 1934	
	VI.	Abolition Sentiment after 1834	195-198
VIII.		ons	
IX.	Bibliogr	anhy	202-209

Contents

X.	Apper	ndices	209-218
	A.	Anti-Slavery Societies of Tennessee	
	В,	Tennessee Colonization Society	
	C.	Anti-Slavery Leaders in Tennessee	
	D.	List of Emigrants	
	E.		
	F.	Comparative List of Manumission Societies and Members in the United States	211
	G.	Slave and Free Negro Population in Tennessee	212
	Н.	Comparative Value of Land and Slaves in the Three Divisions of Tennessee, 1859	
	I.	Approximate Value of Property, Slaves, Land, and Cotton in Tennessee, 1859	
	J.	Classification of Slave-holders in Tennessee and the United States, on the basis of num-	
		ber of slaves held, 1860	213

PREFACE

This work was undertaken to discover the exact status of the negro in one of the border states. An effort has been made to give definite information as to the legal, social, economic, and religious condition of the negro from his introduction into slavery in Colonial Western North Carolina to the abolition of slavery in Tennessee in 1865.

The study reveals the struggles of the slave from a status of servitude under the common law through the institution of slavery regulated by an extensive slave-code into the final condition of an almost helpless citizen with a responsibility for which he was only partially prepared.

The status of the free negro is also established in his relations to both the slave and the whites. It was rather disappointing to find that the free negro was more disadvantageously situated than the slave. He never attained either civil or political equality, although he exercised the suffrage until 1834. He was subject to a special code different from either the slave code or the regular code.

It is clear, however, that the negro, whether slave or free, was making progress. He was receiving an industrial training without which he could never have sustained himself without help, when freedom came. His training for active participation in the body politic was negligible. He was taught the lesson of being obedient to law.

A constructive part of the study is the disclosure of a large body of loyal friends of the negro in all his stages of development. These consisted of not only the abolitionists, the Friends, and the anti-slavery forces generally, but of more conservative individuals who saw that the negro could be fitted for freedom only by a gradual process. The courts of the state deserve special mention in this connection.

The study has been a difficult one to make because of the scarcity of the sources and the deplorable condition of those that were available. The county records of Tennessee have either been burned, thrown away, or thrown together in heaps in the basement of county court houses. The state

archives are in the attic of the Tennessee Capitol, covered with dust, and are practically inaccessible for any thorough study. The statutes of the state, records of courts, reports of anti-slavery societies, church minutes, petitions, slave codes, periodicals, travels, reminiscences, and newspapers are the principal sources consulted. A goodly number of general, state, and church histories and biographies proved useful for general information.

The work was begun under the direction of Professors Jernegan and Dodd of the University of Chicago, and continued under the guidance of Professor Albert Bushnell Hart of Harvard, Professor U. B. Phillips of the University of Michigan, and Professor William A. Dunning of Columbia University. Professor B. B. Kendrick of Columbia University was especially helpful in organizing the material. But for the stimulating and sympathetic assistance of these men, the study could not have been completed. The author alone is responsible for any errors of fact and the conclusions.

CALEB PERRY PATTERSON.

The University of Texas, Austin, Texas.

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

The introduction of slavery into Tennessee was a part of the westward movement of colonization. It had passed the experimental stage of its development in North Carolina before Tennessee acquired an independent political existence.\(^1\) Its economic, social, and legal aspects had largely been determined before Tennessee was even settled.\(^2\) As a system of labor, it had proved a valuable adjunct to the sturdy pioneers in converting the wilderness of North Carolina into a growing community that began immediately to look forward to statehood.\(^3\) As a social institution, it had been left primarily to the regulation of custom. As a problem of government, an elaborate code had been enacted for its control. Its establishment and regulation in North Carolina prior to 1790 constitute, therefore, the genesis of this study.

Negro slaves were brought into North Carolina in 1663 by Virginia immigrants who planted a settlement on the Albemarle River. A group of more thrifty Virginians, with a large number of slaves, settled in the central part of the state about the middle of the eighteenth century. A number of small farmers came to the western part of the state with their slaves at about the same time. It is im-

²The first settlements in Tennessee were made in 1769 and 1772. Ibid., pp. 49-52.

Doyle, J. A., The English Colonies in America, I, 331.

²Tennessee belonged to Virginia from 1607 to 1663, to Carolina from 1663 to 1693, and to North Carolina from 1693 to 1790. Garrett, W. R., and Goodpasture, A. V., History of Tennessee, p. 14.

²The first settlements in Tennessee were made in 1769 and 1772.

³The settlements of western North Carolina became the State of Franklin in 1785, the Southwest Territory in 1790, and the State of Tennessee in 1796. Ibid., pp. 91, 105, and 127.

⁵Bassett, John Spencer, Johns Hopkins University Studies, Vol. 14. p. 18.

[&]quot;Ibid., p. 19.