ANTROPOLOGICAL PAPERS OF THE AMERICAN MUSEUM OF NATURAL HISTORY, VOL. XII, PART II, PP. 15-52: PREHISTORIC BRONZE IN SOUTH AMERICA Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

ISBN 9780649198481

Antropological papers of the American Museum of Natural History, Vol. XII, Part II, pp. 15-52: Prehistoric bronze in South America by Charles W. Mead

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd. Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

www.triestepublishing.com

CHARLES W. MEAD

ANTROPOLOGICAL PAPERS OF THE AMERICAN MUSEUM OF NATURAL HISTORY, VOL. XII, PART II, PP. 15-52: PREHISTORIC BRONZE IN SOUTH AMERICA



743626

ANTHROPOLOGICAL PAPERS

OF THE

American Museum of Natural History.

Vol. XII, Part II.

PREHISTORIC BRONZE IN SOUTH AMERICA.

BY CHARLES W. MEAD.

NEW YORK: Published by Order of the Trustees. 1915.

HE



PREHISTORIC BRONZE IN SOUTH AMERICA.

By Charles W. Mead.

CONTENTS.

												AGE.
TRODUCTION	104	900	300	2000	90	250	3.40	30	636	800	949	19
RU AND BOLIVI.	A	*0	141	11.0	*:							38
GENTINA .				100				1				44
IILE	800	40	:35	9.60	120	12	60	30	69	46	183	45
ENERAL DISCUSS	ION											46
	10000000 11000											52
			ILLU	STRA	TIC	NS						
			Ter	кт Fi	GURE	18.						
											1	PAGE.
Types of Impleme	nts fr	om t	he Isl	land o	of Tit	icaca	. Boli	via	110	.00	-	31
- 100 100 M = 100										- 10	- 8	33
								- 8	100	- 16	- 88	35
4. Three fine Knives from Cajamarca, Peru .								×	33	10	18	37
	Types of Impleme Types of Impleme Typical Objects fr	Types of Implements fr Types of Implements fr Types of John Control of Types of Implements fr	Types of Implements from t Types of Implements from T Typical Objects from Cuzeo	TYPES of Implements from Tiahu Typical Objects from Cuzeo, Peru	TEXT FI Types of Implements from the Island of Typical Objects from Cuzeo, Peru	TEXT FIGURE Types of Implements from the Island of Tit Types of Implements from Cuzeo, Peru	RU AND BOLIVIA GENTINA IILE ENERAL DISCUSSION BLIOGRAPHY ILLUSTRATIONS TEXT Figures. Types of Implements from the Island of Titicaca Types of Implements from Tiahuanaco, Bolivia Typical Objects from Cuzeo, Peru	RU AND BOLIVIA GENTINA IILE ENERAL DISCUSSION ILLUSTRATIONS TEXT FIGURES. Types of Implements from the Island of Titicaca, Bolivia Typical Objects from Cuzeo, Peru	RU AND BOLIVIA GENTINA HILE ENERAL DISCUSSION HILLUSTRATIONS TEXT FIGURES. Types of Implements from the Island of Titicaca, Bolivia Typical Objects from Cazeo, Peru	RU AND BOLIVIA GENTINA IILE ENERAL DISCUSSION BLIOGRAPHY ILLUSTRATIONS TEXT FIGURES. Types of Implements from the Island of Titicaca, Bolivia Types of Implements from Tiahuanaco, Bolivia Typical Objects from Cuzco, Peru	RU AND BOLIVIA GENTINA HILE ENERAL DISCUSSION BLIOGRAPHY ILLUSTRATIONS TEXT Figures. Types of Implements from the Island of Titicaca, Bolivia Types of Implements from Tiahuanaco, Bolivia Typical Objects from Cuzeo, Peru	TRODUCTION RU AND BOLIVIA GENTINA HILE ENERAL DISCUSSION BLIOGRAPHY ILLUSTRATIONS Text Figures. Types of Implements from the Island of Titicaca, Bolivia Types of Implements from Tiahuanaco, Bolivia Typical Objects from Cuzco, Peru

* 128

INTRODUCTION.

The principal object of this paper is to put on record the results of one hundred and sixty analyses of prehistoric copper and bronze objects from Peru and Bolivia. These analyses were made for the American Museum of Natural History by Mr. W. A. Wissler. Also six specimens analyzed for the Museum by Doctors Morris Loeb and S. R. Morey, and five specimens analyzed by Professor S. P. Sharples for the Peabody Museum, Harvard University, for which I am indebted to the kindness of Professor F. W. Putpam.

The figures show most of the forms of objects from which samples of metal have been taken for these analyses.

In the following tables the amount of copper and tin is given. It was not considered important to determine the exact amount of the other metals, but their presence or absence is noted. For convenience, chemical symbols have been used.

Mr. Wissler reports on his analyses, as follows: -

The table consists of analyses made at the American Museum of Natural History of prehistoric bronze and copper specimens from South America, to determine whether the addition of tin was intentionally and scientifically made.

Owing to the small amount of drillings taken for the analyses, in some cases only .07 gram, the results should be taken as a close approximation of the true composition.

Tin was determined as stannic oxide, by the method of Busse. In all cases where the total precipitate weighed less than 15 mg. it was weighed as such. If there was more than this amount the precipitate was fused with caustic potash and the tin determined electrolytically. Copper was determined by iodometry, excepting that in all cases where the total amount was less than .15 gm. it was determined electrolytically.

Qualitative tests were made by the Johnson-Marsh test for arsenic and antimony, dimethyl glyoxime for nickel, and the ordinary routine methods for the other metals. Tin was detected by the presence of the oxide after solution in nitric acid, and was found to appear plainly and certainly when present to the amount of .00001 gram. The table records all tests made, whether with positive or negative results.

In some cases, as for example in catalogue numbers 9195 and 9188, the specimens were so corroded that the initial weight of the sample was discarded, a complete analysis made, and the total weight of the metals found taken as the true weight.

Numbers 3114 and 5166 are distinctly estimates, probably correct within one percent of the true analysis. In numbers 2486, 760, 4599, 7791, 9193, 9205, 9194, 9198, 2821a, 2644, 9199, 2792b, 2800, 2639, 9191, 9190, 2791a, 2804, 2821b, 9208, 857, 858, 860, 6584, 9187, 4265, 2791b, 2821c, 1819, 1955, 5192d, 9189, 1961, 2094, 1965,

¹ Cu, copper; Sn, tin; Pb. lead; Ag, silver; As, arsenic; Sb, antimony; Ni, nickel; Zn, zinc; S, sulphur; Au, gold; Fe, iron.