

THE POLITICAL FUTURE OF INDIA

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

ISBN 9780649676408

The Political Future of India by Lajpat Rai

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd.
Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

www.triestepublishing.com

LAJPAT RAI

**THE POLITICAL
FUTURE OF INDIA**

The Political Future of India

by
Lajpat Rai



NEW YORK
B. W. HUEBSCH, Inc.
MCMXXI

IS448
L25

COPYRIGHT, 1919, BY B. W. HUEBACH
PRINTED IN U.S.A.

Ch. J. H. L.

TO THE
LIBRARY OF THE
CONGRESS

TO MY FRIEND
COLONEL JOSIAH WEDGWOOD, M. P., D. S. O.

439438

1. The first part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining accurate records of all transactions and activities. It emphasizes that proper record-keeping is essential for ensuring transparency and accountability in financial reporting.

2. The second part of the document outlines the various methods and techniques used to collect and analyze data. It highlights the need for a systematic approach to data collection and the importance of using reliable sources of information.

3. The third part of the document focuses on the analysis and interpretation of the collected data. It discusses the various statistical and analytical tools that can be used to identify trends, patterns, and anomalies in the data.

4. The fourth part of the document discusses the importance of communicating the results of the analysis to the relevant stakeholders. It emphasizes the need for clear and concise reporting and the importance of providing context and interpretation for the findings.

5. The fifth part of the document discusses the various challenges and limitations associated with data collection and analysis. It highlights the need for a critical and objective approach to data analysis and the importance of acknowledging the limitations of the data and the analysis.

6. The sixth part of the document discusses the various ethical considerations that must be taken into account when collecting and analyzing data. It emphasizes the need for transparency and accountability in the data collection process and the importance of protecting the privacy and confidentiality of the data.

7. The seventh part of the document discusses the various applications of data analysis in different fields and industries. It highlights the wide range of uses for data analysis, from business and finance to healthcare and social sciences.

8. The eighth part of the document discusses the various tools and software that are available for data collection and analysis. It highlights the importance of choosing the right tools and software for the specific needs of the project.

9. The ninth part of the document discusses the various best practices for data collection and analysis. It highlights the importance of following a systematic and consistent approach to data collection and analysis and the importance of documenting all steps of the process.

10. The tenth part of the document discusses the various future trends and developments in data collection and analysis. It highlights the increasing importance of data analysis in the digital age and the potential for new and innovative applications of data analysis in the future.

PREFACE

My book, *Young India*, was written during the first year of the war and was finally revised and sent to the press before the war was two years old. It concluded with the following observation:

"The Indians are a chivalrous people; they will not disturb England as long as she is engaged with Germany. The struggle after the war might, however, be even more bitter and sustained."

The events that have happened since have amply justified the above conclusion. India not only refrained from disturbing England while she was engaged in war with Germany, but actively helped in defeating Germany and winning the war. She raised an army of over a million combatants and supplied a large number of war workers, and made huge contributions in money and materials. She denied herself the necessities of life in order to feed and equip the armies in the field though within the last months of the war, when scarcity and epidemic overtook her, she lost six millions of her sons and daughters from one disease alone — influenza. This was more than chivalry. This was self-effacement in the interests of an Empire which, in the past, had treated her children as helots. How much of this effort was voluntary and how much of it was forced it is difficult to appraise. Great Britain, however, has unequivocally accepted it as voluntary and has attributed it to India's satisfaction

with her rule. That India was not satisfied with her rule she has spared no pains to impress upon the British people as well as the rest of the world. Reading between the lines of the report of the Secretary of State for India and the Viceroy has established the fact of that dissatisfaction beyond the possibility of doubt, but if any doubt still remained it has been dispelled by the writings and utterances of her representative spokesman in India, in Great Britain and abroad. The prince and the peasant, the landlord and the ryot, the professor and the student, the politician and the layman — all have spoken. They differ in their estimates of the “blessings” of British rule, they differ in the manner of their profession of loyalty to the British Empire, they sometimes differ in shaping their schemes for the future Government of India but they are all agreed:

(1) That the present constitution of the Government of India is viciously autocratic, bureaucratic, antiquated and unsatisfying.

(2) That India has, in the past, been governed more in the interests of, and by the British merchant and the British aristocrat than in the interests of her own peoples.

(3) That the neglect of India's education and industries has been culpably tragic and

(4) That the only real and effectual remedy is to introduce an element of responsibility in the Government of India.

In the report of the Secretary of State and the Viceroy, so often quoted and referred to in these pages, the truth of (1), (3), and (4) is substantially admitted and point (2) indirectly conceded. In the

following pages an attempt is made to prove this by extracts from the report itself. Ever since the report was published in July, 1918, India has been in a state of ferment, — a ferment of enthusiasm and criticism, of hope and disappointment. While the country has freely acknowledged the unique value of the report, the politicians have differed in their estimates of the value of the scheme embodied therein. Yet there is a complete unanimity on one point, that nothing *less* than what is planned in the report will be accepted, even as the first step towards eventual complete responsible Government. This is the minimum. Even the ultra-moderates have expressed themselves quite strongly on that point. Speaking at the Conference of the Moderates held at Bombay on November 1, 1918, the President, Mr. Surendranath Banerjea, is reported to have said: "our creed is co-operation with the Government wherever practicable, and opposition to its policy and measures when the supreme interests of the mother-land require it. . . . I have a word to say . . . to the British Government. I have a warning note to sound. . . . If the enactment of the Reform proposals is unduly postponed, if they are whittled down *in any way* . . . there will be grave public discontent and agitation." A little further in the same speech he asked if "by the unwisdom of our rulers" India was "to be converted into a greater Ireland." In less than six months from the date of this pronouncement, the rulers of India gave ample proof of their "unwisdom" by actually converting India into a "greater Ireland" and in establishing the absolute correctness of the prognostication made by the present writer in the