# THE FUNDAMENTALS OF ARGUMENTATION AND DEBATE

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

### ISBN 9780649590407

The Fundamentals of Argumentation and Debate by J. Walter Reeves

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd. Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

www.triestepublishing.com

## J. WALTER REEVES

# THE FUNDAMENTALS OF ARGUMENTATION AND DEBATE



# THE FUNDAMENTALS OF ARGUMENTATION AND DEBATE

BY

J. WALTER REEVES, A.M.

THE PEDDIE INSTITUTE
BIGHTSTOWN, N. J.

D. C. HEATH & CO., PUBLISHERS
BOSTON NEW YORK CHICAGO



COPYRIGHT, 1917 BY J. WALTER REEVES

COPYRIGHT, 1920

210

## PREFACE

THE author of this text does not claim to have advanced any new scheme for handling the material on Argumentation and Debate, nor does he claim to have covered the subject more comprehensively than other authors. He has had in mind, rather, the object of simplifying the subject matter in order that it might be more quickly grasped. A detailed knowledge of the principles of Argumentation is greatly to be desired, but it is not within the possibilities of students in secondary schools. The primary purpose of this text is to meet the needs of students in such schools.

There have been many excellent books written on Argumentation, most of them for college, but some for secondary, work. Those who have written for the latter have failed to keep in mind the little time that can be devoted to this subject. Few schools can devote more than sixteen lessons to this study. Where the textbook goes into detail the younger student becomes confused in the short time be has for the subject. Therefore the author has simed to give the fundamentals with sufficient illustrations to make the matter clear, believing that the student will be the more interested to take up the study in a more detailed way when he enters college. After four years of experimentation with other texts, the author submitted in note form the subject matter which follows and was satisfied that the students obtained a clearer knowledge of the fundamentals than the students of the previous years.

The author acknowledges his indebtedness to many books on the subject, and particularly to Pattee's Practical Argumentation, Stone and Garrison's Essentials of Argumentation, William Trufant Foster's Essentials of Exposition and Argument, and Gardiner's The Making of Arguments. He is also much indebted to his teachers, Professor Delbert G. Lean of Wooster College and Judge Arthur P. Stone of Harvard, under whose tutelage he received much practical knowledge of the subject and even better, a love for the study. The author is under deep obligation to Charles S. Mitchell of the English Department of the Brooklyn Polytechnic Institute, who offered many valuable suggestions and helpful criticisms.

J. W. R.

# CONTENTS

•	PAGE
ARGUMENTATION	1
Definition, 1; The Force of Argument, 1; Oral Argument or Debate, 2; The Two Purposes of Argument, 2; The Divisions of an Argument, 3.	
THE SUBJECT	5
The Proper Phrasing of the Subject, 5; Is the Proposition Debatable? 6; Is the Proposition too Broad? 7; Does the Proposition Contain One Central Idea? 7; Does the Proposition Contain Ambiguous Words or Phrases? 7; Is the Proposition Affirmatively Stated? 8.	
PRELIMINARIES TO THE DISCUSSION	11
State the Question, 11; Reasons for the Present Interest in the Question, 12; History of the Question, 12; Definition of the Question, 13; Write down the Conflicting Statements, 15; Eliminate Admitted and Walved Matter, 16; Select and State Clearly the Issues, 17; Model Introduction, 19.	
DISCUSSION — EVIDENCE	22
Direct and Indirect Evidence, 22; Direct Evidence, 22; Evidence from Authority, 23; The Authority may not be Capable, 24; The Authority may be Prejudiced, 25; The Authority may not be Acceptable, 25; The Reference to the Authority must be Definite, 26; Tests of Evidence from Authority, 27; The Evidence must be Consistent with the Other Evidence in the Argument, 27; Evidence must be Consistent with Facts, 28; Evidence must be Consistent with Human Experience, 28.	
	Definition, 1; The Force of Argument, 1; Oral Argument or Debate, 2; The Two Purposes of Argument, 2; The Divisions of an Argument, 3.  THE SUBJECT.  The Proper Phrasing of the Subject, 5; Is the Proposition Debatable? 6; Is the Proposition One Central Idea? 7; Does the Proposition Contain One Central Idea? 7; Does the Proposition Contain Ambiguous Words or Phrases? 7; Is the Proposition Affirmatively Stated? 8.  PRELIMINARIES TO THE DISCUSSION.  State the Question, 11; Reasons for the Present Interest in the Question, 12; History of the Question, 12; Definition of the Question, 13; Write down the Conflicting Statements, 15; Eliminate Admitted and Walved Matter, 16; Select and State Clearly the Issues, 17; Model Introduction, 19.  DISCUSSION — EVIDENCE.  Direct and Indirect Evidence, 22; Direct Evidence, 22; Evidence from Authority, 23; The Authority may not be Capable, 24; The Authority may be Prejudiced, 25; The Reference to the Authority must be Definite, 26; Tests of Evidence from Authority, 27; The Evidence must be Consistent with the Other Evidence in the Argument, 27; Evidence must be Consistent with Facts, 28; Evidence must be Consistent wi

V.	DISCUSSION — REASONING	30
	Kinds of Reasoning, 30; Deductive Reasoning, 30; Inductive Reasoning, 33; Generalization, 33; Tests for Generalization, 35; Analogy, 36; Tests for Analogy, 37; Causal Relation, 38; Reasoning from Effect to Cause, 38; Reasoning from Cause to Effect, 39; Reasoning from Effect to Effect, 39; Tests for Causal Relation, 40; Refutation, 41.	
VI.	Persuasion	47
	The Emotional Nature of Man, 47; Modesty, 48; Fairness, 49; Sincerity, 50; Appeal to Some Emotion, 51.	
VII.	THE BRIEF	55
	The Definition of a Brief, 55; General Rules, 55; Rules for the Introduction, 56; Rules for the Discussion, 57; Rule for the Conclusion, 58; Application of Rules for Briefing, 60; Brief of the Introduction, 61; Brief of the Discussion, 62; Development of the Brief, 68.	
VIII.	DEBATING	7 <b>E</b>
	General Preparation for Debating, 71; Preparation for Constructive Speeches, 71; Preparation for Rebuttal, 73; Preparation for the Presentation of Speeches, 74; The Debate Itself, 76; Duties of Chairman and Timekeeper, 76; Affirmative and Negative Speeches, 76; Rebuttals, 70.	

# Argumentation and Debate

## CHAPTER I

## ARGUMENTATION

Argumentation is the endeavor to make others accept our own conclusions.

Argumentation is one of the most powerful agents of man. It has enabled him all down through the ages to bring about decisive steps in the process of civilization. Aristotle, Martin Luther, Bismarck, Darwin, and Lincoln are but a few of the great host who have gained their great renown and contributed to the world's development through their ability to marshal their thoughts and to present them in a logical and convincing manner.

By the force of argument, man is generally able to overthrow error and to present truth. The lawyer seeks to bring about a decision by argument; the preacher attempts to convince and persuade by the use of argument; the statesman brings about his great constructive laws by a process of logical reaso ing; the teacher supplements the textbooks by weighing the facts and contrasting their relative values. There is hardly a newspaper or magazine article that does not seek to convince you, by contrasting the arguments for and against a controversy, that its point