A HISTORY OF PHILOSOPHY

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

ISBN 9780649137282

A history of philosophy by Clement C. J. Webb

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd. Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

www.triestepublishing.com

CLEMENT C. J. WEBB

A HISTORY OF PHILOSOPHY



A HISTORY OF PHILOSOPHY

CLEMENT C. J. WEBB



NEW YORK
HENRY HOLT AND COMPANY
LONDON
WILLIAMS AND NORGATE

(10 RAR) B 74 W4

887201.

CONTENTS

MAP.		PAGE
1	PHILOSOPHY AND ITS HISTORY	7
11	PLATO AND HIS PREDECESSORS .	14
ш	ARISTOTLE AND OTHER SUCCESSORS	0.0416
	OF PLATO	47
ıv	PHILOSOPHY AND THE RISE OF	
	CHRISTIANITY	78
v	PHILOSOPHY DURING THE MINORITY	
	OF MODERN EUROPE	111
VI	PHILOSOPHY AT THE COMING OF AGE	
	OF MODERN EUROPE	127
VII	DESCARTES AND HIS SUCCESSORS .	143
VIII	LOCKE AND HIS SUCCESSORS	171
IX	KANT AND HIS CONTEMPORARIES .	186
x	THE SUCCESSORS OF KANT	210
	BIBLIOGRAPHY	252
	INDEX	255



A HISTORY OF PHILOSOPHY

CHAPTER I

PHILOSOPHY AND ITS HISTORY

"Wise I may not call them; for that is a great name which belongs to God alone; lovers of wisdom or philosophers is their modest and befitting title." So speaks Socrates in Plato's Phædrus of the genuine teachers of mankind, who, whether they be poets or lawgivers or dialecticians like Socrates himself, know what they are talking about, and can distinguish what is really good from what is only apparently so, preferring what can be shown to be true to what is merely plausible and attractive. The word Philosophy has in the course of its long history been used now in a wider, now in a narrower sense; but it has constantly stood for inquiry not so much after certain particular facts as after the fundamental character of this world in which we find ourselves, and of the kind of life which in such a world it behoves us to live.

Sometimes a distinction has been drawn between natural and moral philosophy, according as attention is directed to the world, or to our life in it. In English books of a

hundred years ago "philosopher" more often than not meant a "natural philosopher," and "philosophy" what we should nowadays call " natural science." This may be explained by the fact that it was at that time a prevalent view in this country that, apart from what could be learned from a supernatural revelation, the inductive and mathematical methods used in the natural sciences were the only means we had for discovering the nature of the world: while (apart again from duties prescribed by supernatural authority) it was man's chief task to be, in Bacon's words, the "minister and interpreter" of that "Nature" whose ways by those methods he endeavoured to search out. On the other hand, in popular language a "philosopher" often means no more than a person who in the conduct of his life is not at the mercy of circumstance. It is, no doubt, suggested that this is so because he has come to know the sort of world he has to do with, and so is not to be taken by surprise, whatever happens to him; yet the stress is laid rather on his behaviour than on the knowledge which has made it possible. Nowadays, we do not so commonly speak of "natural philosophy" as of "natural science"; and an astronomer or a physicist, a chemist or a biologist, we should not call a philosopher, unless, over and above his special researches, he were also to engage in some

speculation as to the fundamental nature of the one world in which there is mind as well as matter, unity as well as multiplicity, individuality as well as general laws, and were to put to himself such questions as these: How are matter and mind mutually related? How can what is one be also many, and what is many be also one? What is an individual? How can what is not individual be real? and vet how can we describe any individual at all except in terms which might at any rate be applicable to other individuals as well? Such questions may be provoked by the investigations of the natural sciences, but cannot be decided by the methods used in those investigations. So long as a scientific investigator does not raise questions of this kind, he cannot, in our sense of the word, be called a philosopher; though he may perhaps be so called, if, having raised them, he arrives after consideration at the conclusion that they are unanswerable and therefore not worth raising again.

Philosophy, says Plato, begins with wonder; and, certainly, no kind of animal could learn to philosophize but one whose nature it was not to take things as they come, but to ask after the why and the wherefore of each, taking for granted that each has a why and a wherefore, and seeing in whatever happens to him (though he might not put it in this language)