THE LAW OF LIBEL IN ITS RELATION TO THE PRESS: TOGETHER WITH THE LAW OF LIBEL AMENDMENT ACT, 1888

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

ISBN 9780649626274

The Law of Libel in Its Relation to the Press: Together with the Law of Libel Amendment Act, 1888 by Hugh Fraser

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd. Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

www.triestepublishing.com

HUGH FRASER

THE LAW OF LIBEL IN ITS RELATION TO THE PRESS: TOGETHER WITH THE LAW OF LIBEL AMENDMENT ACT, 1888

Trieste

THE

LAW OF LIBEL

In its relation to the Press,

TOGETHER WITH THE

LAW OF LIBEL AMENDMENT ACT, 1888,

ŝ

AND ALL PREVIOUS STATUTES BEARING ON THE SUBJECT.

BY

HUGH FRASER, M.A., LL.M.,

OF THE INNER TEMPLE AND NORTHEEN CIECUIT, BARRIETER-AT-LAW;

One of the Lecturers to the Incorporated Law Society; Formerly Lecturer on Common Law to the Licerspool and Neurosube-upon-Type Boards of Legal Studies; late Scholar and Law Student of Tricky Hall, Cambridge; formerly Common Law Scholar of the Inner Templa, and Holder of the Inne of Court Studentship; Author of "A Compendium of the Law of Toris."

LONDON :

REEVES & TURNER, 100, CHANCERY LANE, AND CAREY STREET, Sato Hooksellers and Publishers.

1889

LONDON :

•

32

а Ч. PRINTED BY O. F. EOWORTH, GREAT NEW STREET, FETTER LANE-E.C.

PREFACE.

THIS Work does not profess to be an exhaustive treatise on the law of libel, but merely a useful and practical hand-book on the Law of Newspaper Libel, and is primarily designed to meet the requirements of those connected with the Press. I have stated the law in the form of propositions followed by explanatory notes, and have discussed the various difficult and complicated questions which arise on the construction of the Law of Libel Amendment Act, 1888. The date of every case cited is given, and, so far as I know, a reference to every report of it.

I have only treated the subject of procedure incidentally, or where it called for special notice. Believing, however, that it might be useful, I have inserted in Appendix A. a short sketch of the proceedings before a stipendiary magistrate, or two justices of the peace. Appendix B. contains the text of all the statutes bearing on the law of libel in its relation to the press, together with references to the particular pages at which each section is dealt with, which will, I trust, add to the value of the Work.

H. F.

2, CLOISTERS, TEMPLE, E.C. Nov. 7, 1889.

a 2

23

(≉ 124 101

ũ.

a 1 _____ -

CONTENTS.

							P	AGE
TABLE OF CASES -	17		Ξ.		25		17	vii
INDER TO STATUTES CITED		3 30 5		-			 -	xv
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	×)a		3 9	÷.	-	xvii

37

.

PART I.

OF LIABILITY TO A CIVIL ACTION.

ARTICLE 1.	Definition of libel	g 😰	<u>_</u>		22	1
2.	The innuendo	1 - C	¥ ⁶ 3			2
. 8.	No action without	t publicatio	- n	÷.	10-	3
4.	Is the question of the jury? -	of publicati	on for	the judge	or	4
5.	How to discover newspaper, and				1 a -	4
6.	Damage presume	d -	•			6
7.	Intention immate	rial -		(in) (7
8.	Who is liable : journal -	for a libel	in a n -	ewspaper	or	7
9,	Liability in cases to contribution dant -					13
10.	Defences to actio	n for libel	-		-	14
	Justification				-	14
12.	Distinction betwee	en report s	nd com	nent -	-	18
	Fair and bond fid				blie	19
14.	Privilege -					24
	Reports, &c., put	lished by c	rder of	Parliamen	t -	26
	Reports of proces				143	26
	Reports of procee					33
	Reports of procee	C 1961 C 2003 C 2007 C 2007 C				34
	Reports of vestry			•	-	39

CONTENTS.

11

-

	12121						- Cinacio		P	AGE
ABTICLE	20.	Notices Govern						request -	of -	41
	21.	Defence (of apo	logy u	nder	sect.	of L	ord Can	np-	
		bell's A	let (6	& 7 Vi	ot. c.	96)	-	-	-	42
	22.	Accord as					÷	-		43
	23.	Release	-	1000	1 10 1		- 1	3 9 3	100	44
	24.	Previous	action	-			-	-	-	44
	25.	Statute of	f Limi	tations	-		-		-	45
	26.	Evidence	in agy	gravati	on of	dame	ges-	~~~ ¥		46
	27.	Evidence	in mi	tigatio	n of d	amag	68	1.	9 4 9	47
	28.	Consolida	tion o	f action	as	-		-	-	50
	29.	Assessme	nt of d	lamage	es in a	a cons	olidat	ed actio	n -	52
		Injunctio		-	geatrice C	-	-	an a	-	53
		Costs		396 ¹	1			1. A. C. A.	-	54

PART II.

OF LIABILITY TO CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS.

ABTICLE 1.	Libel a	crime			. 4		34	55
2.	Blaspho	mous, s	editiou	s, and ol	scone .	words, &	tc	57
3.	Publica	tion -		10 	-		38	60
4.		secution of judge		ewspape	r libel -	except	by	61
5.	Defence	- 81	°		-	-		63
6.		o under 7 Vict. c		of Lor	rd Can	pbell's	Act	64
7		er's crin		ability f	or libel	a miblia	hod	
		s servan			-	- public	-	64
8.		no libel		stion for	the in	TV -	1	66
			-	-				
APPENDIX A								
Hearing	before	stipendi	ary m	agistrate	or jus	stices of	the	
peace	-	8.8	- 2	-	-	-		69
APPENDIX B								
Statutes		3 2 35	编制	3 34 3	100			78
		322 - L		2002243				

vi

2

ł

TABLE OF CASES.

1

A											AGI
ADAMS v. Kelly					~	÷.				4, 8	
Anderson v. Liebig's	Ext	ract (01 10	leat	Co.	, 14	mit	ed	-	-	53
Andrews v. Chapman	n		2			-				18	, 29
Armstrong and other	rs v. 1	Armi	t as	nd of	ther	8	-		-	•	53
Arnold v. Clifford (A	.m.)	-		•		-				-	18
Ashmore v. Borthwi			۰.				-		-		29
Attorney-General v.	Brad	bury	an	d E	VRILE	-		•		•	28
Bainbridge v. Lax aı	nd oth	1878		-	¥.	-		-		-	43
Baldwin v. Elphinsto		· · · ·		1							- 8
Behrens v. Allen	80. 1910		-		-		-		-	-	17
Bennett v. Bennett -	- 1500-00 -	2		-		12		1		-	49
Bishop v. Latimer		-	-				-		-	15	30
Blackburn v. Blackb	TITT	12		2		<u>ن</u>		12			1
Blake v. Stevens and		-	-				-	7. 8.	10	15,	46
Bond v. Douglas -				22		÷2				1, 8,	
Boosey v. Wood					-		-		- 1	., .,	43
Booth and others v.]	Brisco	00		142		÷.		12		2	23
Bowden v. Russell	-		_		-		-		-	-	19
Boydell v. Jones -	128			÷		а÷		а <u>т</u>		-	30
Bradlaugh and Besar	nt er T	The (One	me	8.8					20	106
Brenon v. Ridgway -			apare	-				-		28	20
Brine v. Bazalgette	5253		22		2		1		4	12	46
Brunswick (Duke of)	w. H	arme	-	-		-		-	2	, 8,	
Bryce v. Rusden			-		-		-		w?		20
Buenos Ayres Gas Ca	0	Wild	0	-		-		-		-	19
Bull v. Chapman			Č., (140				T#T:	<u>_</u>	13
Burdett v. Abbot -		2		-		2		3	1.4	, 8,	
Burnett v. Tak -	. 		•				•		-	-	53
Campbell v. Spottisw			-	10.04			2	, 19,	20,	23,	24
Capital & Counties B	ank :	. H e	mty	\$ 5	lons			20000 E	cant	-	2
Carr (Sir John) v. He	hoo				2236		1217		CERS.	322	24

Campbell v. Spottiswood	de	-		₩11	2,	19,	20,	23,	24
Capital & Counties Ban	kυ.	Henty	48	ons -	0.05	÷.	cante	-	2
Carr (Sir John) v. Hood	L			-				-	24
Caulfield v. Whitworth	ŝ,	-		-		-		-	14
Chalmers v. Shackell	- · · ·	-		÷3				-	15
Chariton v. Watton -			5			-		7,	49