

**COLLEGIATE AND PROFESSORIAL  
TEACHING AND DISCIPLINE IN ANSWER  
TO PROFESSOR  
VAUGHAN'S STRICTURES: CHIEFLY AS  
TO THE CHARGES AGAINST THE  
COLLEGES OF FRANCE AND GERMANY**

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

ISBN 9780649552054

Collegiate and Professorial Teaching and Discipline in Answer to Professor Vaughan's  
Strictures: Chiefly as to the Charges Against the Colleges of France and Germany by E. B. Pusey

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd.  
Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

[www.triestepublishing.com](http://www.triestepublishing.com)

**E. B. PUSEY**

**COLLEGIATE AND PROFESSORIAL  
TEACHING AND DISCIPLINE IN ANSWER  
TO PROFESSOR  
VAUGHAN'S STRICTURES: CHIEFLY AS  
TO THE CHARGES AGAINST THE  
COLLEGES OF FRANCE AND GERMANY**



0

555  
26

d  
COLLEGIATE AND PROFESSORIAL  
TEACHING AND DISCIPLINE

IN ANSWER TO

PROFESSOR VAUGHAN'S STRICTURES,

CHIEFLY AS TO THE CHARGES AGAINST THE  
COLLEGES OF FRANCE AND GERMANY.

BY THE  
*Edward Bouverie*  
REV. E. B. PUSEY, D.D.,

REGIUS PROFESSOR OF HEBREW, AND CANON OF CHRIST CHURCH.

OXFORD AND LONDON:  
JOHN HENRY PARKER.

AND MESSRS. RIVINGTONS, WATERLOO-PLACE, PALL-MALL.

M DCCCLIV.

1854

## CONTENTS.

	Page
CHARACTERISTIC of Professorial teaching . . . . .	4
of Collegiate . . . . .	5
Not endowment of Professorships, but dominance of Professorial teaching, objected to . . . . .	6
i. <i>Delivered</i> lectures inferior to Catechetical in giving information, (Physical sciences excepted) . . . . .	8
Knowledge conveyed orally, not imparted so solidly as in a book . . . . .	9
Nor received so accurately . . . . .	11
Nor appreciated so carefully . . . . .	16
Nor remembered so distinctly . . . . .	18
Nor digested so thoroughly . . . . .	19
Catechetical teaching begins, where Professorial teaching ends . . . . .	20
Less power of adaptation to different minds in delivered lectures . . . . .	24
ii. <i>Delivered</i> lectures, very inferior discipline to the mind . . . . .	25
"Passive" and "active" employment of the mind . . . . .	28
"Practical" and speculative teaching as distinguished by Dr. Whewell . . . . .	30
Practical or Catechetical teaching the real discipline of the human mind . . . . .	ib.
In Languages and Mathematics, according to Dr. Whewell . . . . .	32

	Page
Mathematics . . . . .	33
Deeper classics . . . . .	34
Greek civilization connected by Dr. W. with practical teaching; its decline with speculative or Professorial . . . . .	35
Does Professorial teaching involve greater suspension of judgment? . . . . .	36
iii. Sphere of delivered lectures to convey <i>certain</i> truth, not to educate . . . . .	40
Commissioners attempted two incompatible objects, advancement of science and popular teaching . . . . .	ib.
Excitement in Commissioners' plan . . . . .	ib.
Statements in my Evidence as to connection of Professorial teaching and advancement of knowledge . . . . .	43
Improvements as to science by Professors, not through their oral lectures or dissertations . . . . .	45
Philology . . . . .	46
Why have College Tutors not done so much as German Professors? . . . . .	47
Best Philological lectures Catechetical . . . . .	49
To form men, not books, the object of University teaching . . . . .	50
iv. Alleged statement that "Theological Professors are the cause of heresy and scepticism" . . . . .	51
In professorial system, pupil unduly dependent . . . . .	ib.
Imputations . . . . .	ib.
Causes of decay of German theology assigned in 1827 . . . . .	53
Grounds of that statement . . . . .	54
Professors <i>were</i> the <i>agents</i> , not causes . . . . .	55
Doism of English origin, took root, not in England, but in Germany . . . . .	56
Professorial system made Germans imitative . . . . .	ib.
Evil, so propagated, more extensive; good, less lasting . . . . .	58
Temptation to display in <i>delivered</i> lectures dangerous . . . . .	59
Error not short-lived . . . . .	61
— ramifies . . . . .	ib.
Evil which God overrules to good, is still in itself evil . . . . .	63

CONTENTS.

	v
	Page
Defect in Professorial teaching, not put forth now for the first time . . . . .	63
Theological teaching is influenced by the whole surrounding system . . . . .	69
Excitement, and consequently bad mental effect, of Commissioners' scheme . . . . .	70
Intellect has its own trials; mistakes herein more easy than as to the passions . . . . .	73
Subdual of the whole soul to God; Abp. Howley . . . . .	ib.
v. Moral training no part of Professorial system . . . . .	75
Perilous to congregate young men at the University without it . . . . .	76
Problem of education to teach men to govern themselves . . . . .	77
College-discipline, its close . . . . .	ib.
Extent of evidence of bad effects of that neglect in Germany . . . . .	78
Good effects of College-discipline in France . . . . .	79
Return to it <i>now</i> a religious effort . . . . .	ib.
Examination of Prof. Vaughan's retorted attack on Colleges . . . . .	80
Meagreness of the data—French Universities . . . . .	ib.
College-system might well have succeeded in other French Universities, even had it failed amid the temptations of Paris . . . . .	83
Professor Vaughan's picture of French Colleges . . . . .	ib.
Allegations examined . . . . .	85
Colleges <i>not</i> "corrupted by Heads and Tutors within a few years" . . . . .	ib.
Allegation founded on mistake as to the College of Navarre . . . . .	86
Real character of Royal Orders of 1321 . . . . .	ib.
College of Navarre not upheld by Royal edicts or University reforms . . . . .	89
Testimonies as to eminence of its Masters, its discipline and morals, its disciples . . . . .	ib.
Nic. Oresmius . . . . .	ib.



	Page
Univ. of Paris, Card. d'Ailly . . . . .	90
Nic. de Clamengis . . . . .	ib.
Gerson, Machet . . . . .	92
Reform of 1464, not of the Heads, but below . . . . .	93
Chaplain and Clerks of the Chapel . . . . .	94
Masters of the students in Arts and Grammar boys . . . . .	ib.
Confidence in Masters implied . . . . .	ib.
Defective discipline for 20 or 18 years only . . . . .	96
Details of reforms . . . . .	96-99
No moral offences alluded to . . . . .	99
Very limited nature of reform, yet minuteness . . . . .	ib.
Louis XI. attests good condition of the College . . . . .	ib.
Raulin, Chancellor Boussard . . . . .	100
Other panegyrics during the 16th century . . . . .	101-5
Two such limited reformations in three centuries, in themselves strong testimony . . . . .	105
College of <i>Sorbonne</i> , eminence of its teachers and students . . . . .	106-110
Colleges of <i>Caen</i> , <i>Placey</i> , . . . . .	110
<i>Laon</i> . . . . .	111
Panegyrics of the whole University in 14th century . . . . .	112
Colleges founded between 1246—1304 . . . . .	117
Colleges founded in 14th century . . . . .	118
No notice of decay before civil war . . . . .	122
Sources of decay . . . . .	ib.
Normandy in possession of English . . . . .	123
Norman "Nation" . . . . .	124
Civil wars cut off both funds and students . . . . .	125
Decay of entire Colleges in 1421, produced by civil wars . . . . .	ib.
State of France before and in 1421 . . . . .	126
Decay through calamity of times . . . . .	127
The "Six Colleges in decay" . . . . .	129
Regulations do not touch on discipline . . . . .	127-9
Reformation, sometimes re-formation . . . . .	131
Reformation of 1451 by Cardinal d'Estouteville . . . . .	133
Corrections relate not to Colleges, but to Pædagogies, i. e. Boarding Schools . . . . .	134.

	Page
General satisfaction as to the state of the University	135
Distinction between these in history of the University	136
Grammar Schools in Oxford . . . . .	139
Reformation of the Pædagogia . . . . .	140
Reformation as to <i>Lecturers</i> in Arts . . . . .	144
Reformation of practice of <i>School-masters</i> , being Examiners, <i>against</i> the Colleges . . . . .	146
Regulation of 1472 to check quarrelsomeness of stu- dents, chiefly town, not College-students . . . . .	148
Regulations of 1487 very slight . . . . .	150
Prohibition of wearing arms from old times . . . . .	151
Worn in self-protection . . . . .	ib.
Practice not general, but the contrary . . . . .	152
Occasions of wearing arms . . . . .	154
Excesses of vagrant and pretended scholars, not in Colleges . . . . .	153
Bad practices of the University drawn from them . . . . .	154
This class appears in Oxford . . . . .	155
Tumults not from College students . . . . .	158
Meiners' account of <i>German</i> Colleges and Halls . . . . .	ib.
German <i>Buræ</i> , our <i>Halls</i> , not Colleges . . . . .	162
Discipline of Colleges better than that of Halls, be- cause Heads independent . . . . .	ib.
Reformation at Ingolstadt does <i>not relate</i> to the <i>College</i> , but to private Preceptors who opened Halls . . . . .	165
Canonici at Ingolstadt, young students belonging to Cathedral, not Cathedral Clergy . . . . .	167
Reformation as to Preceptors or Masters of Halls . . . . .	168
Better discipline in the College . . . . .	172
Students, neither in College, nor under Preceptors, the worst . . . . .	ib.
Slight reforms as to the College . . . . .	176
Summary contrast of pictures of the French Colleges with the facts . . . . .	177
Of Germany . . . . .	181
Meiners' statements as to moral condition of English Colleges . . . . .	184

	Page
His authorities two lampoons early in 18th century .	184
Ludicrous charges of tyranny . . . . .	186
Bp. Lowth's contrast of Collegiate and Ante-Colle- giate Oxford . . . . .	187
————— on Collegiate study . . . . .	189
Colleges better fitted for intellectual discipline and moral training than Halls . . . . .	190
Increase of small Halls, retrograde . . . . .	ib.
Instructors of youth ought not to be self-chosen .	191-3
Licence worse than useless, because seeming, not real, guarantee . . . . .	192
Election to Halls under licensed M.A., would lie with the Undergraduates . . . . .	194
Evils of this system . . . . .	195
Evils of the times, when most Halls . . . . .	198
Most lawless students, who belonged neither to Col- lege nor Hall. This class rooted out . . . . .	201
Oxford in bad times owed her existence to Colleges .	202
Halls died out . . . . .	203
Halls which survived, preserved by Colleges . . . . .	204
Good condition of Colleges at Reformation . . . . .	205
Collegiate teaching predominant since the Reformation	ib.
Account in 1607 . . . . .	206
Alleged superiority of German students questioned .	207
Account of English students exaggerated . . . . .	ib.
If true, the greater ground for College system . . . . .	208
Charge of intellectual inferiority met . . . . .	209
English statesmen produced by Oxford, contrasted with Prussian statesmanship . . . . .	211
Objection raised against looking at things in Theo- logical aspect . . . . .	212
Religion the soul and harmonising principle of every science . . . . .	ib.
Anarchy of all science without it . . . . .	213
Evil of <i>mere</i> discipline of the intellect . . . . .	214
To train the whole man, problem of the University .	215
Gradual changes most according to God's Provi- dence . . . . .	216