PATENT CASES DECIDED BY THE COMPTROLLER-GENERAL AND LAW OFFICERS OF THE CROWN IN 1887

Published @ 2017 Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd

ISBN 9780649338023

Patent Cases Decided by the Comptroller-general and Law Officers of the Crown in 1887 by Ralph Griffin

Except for use in any review, the reproduction or utilisation of this work in whole or in part in any form by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including xerography, photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, is forbidden without the permission of the publisher, Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd, PO Box 1576 Collingwood, Victoria 3066 Australia.

All rights reserved.

Edited by Trieste Publishing Pty Ltd. Cover @ 2017

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

www.triestepublishing.com

RALPH GRIFFIN

PATENT CASES DECIDED BY THE COMPTROLLER-GENERAL AND LAW OFFICERS OF THE CROWN IN 1887



PATENT CASES

DECIDED BY THE

COMPTROLLER-GENERAL AND LAW OFFICERS OF THE CROWN IN 1887,

WITH A FEW DECIDED 1885-6:

TOGETHER WITH SOME UNREPORTED CASES IN THE COURTS OF LAW.

RALPH GRIFFIN,

of the inner tentle, Barnister-At-Liv.

LONDON:

WATERLOW AND SONS LIMITED, PRINTERS, LONDON WALL. 1888.

PREFACE.

By the kindness of the Comptroller-General, and with the invaluable assistance of Mr. W. H. Sprague, of the Patent Office, I have been enabled to report these cases decided by the L. O. and C. G. for the most part in 1887, but some few in the years 1885-6.

The judgments are abstracted from the notes of the shorthand writers to the Patent Office, Messrs. Marten & Meredith, of 13, New Inn, Strand, W.C., the accuracy of which can be invariably relied on.

I have, in accordance with a suggestion of the present S. G., added in every case the date at which the L. O. judgment was delivered.

It will be found, as I believe, that these cases in conjunction with those I have already reported in my Patent Cases, 1884-6, form a complete series of all cases of interest decided by the L. O. and the C. G. under the Patents Act, 1883.

I have to thank T. Aston, Esq., Q.C., for allowing me to use and reproduce his notes of certain unreported cases of very great value decided in connection with the well-known *Bovill* patent. These will be found on pp. 45-53.

RALPH GRIFFIN.

6, Crown Office Row, Temple, E.C. Feb. 8, 1888.

ABBREVIATIONS.

Goodeve P. C. . . GOODEVE'S PATENT CASES TO 1883. Griffin P. C. . . . Griffin's Patent Cases, 1884-1886.

LIST OF CASES.

APPLICATIONS FOR PATENTS.

			A	PPLI	CA	TION	S	OR I	ATI	CNT	S				
						PAGE.	100								PAGE.
Brown	9		•			. 1	1	Eve	ritt			100	•	•	27
									39	3					
				13	A3	END	ME	NTS.							
Allen		46	¥	3		3	ï	Han	ptor	&	Pacer				13
Arnold		(# S		(3.0)	3	5		Lake	в.		100	48 ⁵⁰⁰	٠	33	16
Ashwort	h	*	9	7	÷	6		Mor	gan .		14	63			17
Beck &	Just	ice		0.00	¥	10		Nor	lenfe	lt		¥65	3.63	334	18
Bell		93	0.00	(4)	÷	10	1	Wal	ker				630		22
Haddan	100	ŧ)) .	300	٠	12	1								
						OPPO	osi:	rion.	E .						
Anderso	n v. 1	McKir	mel	l.	÷	23	Ĭ	Kni	ght		343	*			35
Anderto	п	. 30	8	6	4	25		Lak	e .			*3			35
David &	Wo	odley	8	2860	ş	26		L'O	seau	&)	Pierra	rd	100	40	36
Everitt		*	10	134.5		28		New	man		. 0	38	3	20	40
Fletcher	200		33	240		30		Von	Buc	h		20		343	40
Hookha	ın		33	476		32		War	man		13450	10		360	43
Jones	165	*		6 3	٠	33	11	Woo	dhea	d	62	æ.,	$\widehat{y}_{\overline{k}}$		44
4															
			A	CTIO	NS	FOR	IN	FRIN	GEM	EN	Т.				
		Bovi	il v.	Cowa	n	200		· ·		32	82	45			
		Bovi	1 v.	Crate		43		111			89	46			
		Bovi	ll v.	Goodi	er	- 63	77	89	•	90	2	47			
		Bovi	I v.	Smith		410		2%	¥35	9	33	49			
		Curti	s c.	Platt					\$3	4	5	53	362		
		Saxb	y v.	Gloud	est	er W	gar	Co.	20	*		54		30	

8 20

9 9

APPLICATION FOR PATENT.

Brown.

Title not sufficiently describing the subject matter. - Appeal.

Application for a patent for "improvements in casks and tubs", with a complete in the first instance, which stated that the invention was applicable to barrels or other casks and also to tubs and analogous vessels in which the staves are formed with a croze or groove for receiving the head or bottom; and the object of the invention was to secure the bottom or head against outward displacement, and also to support the staves beyond the croze against any force or blow delivered upon the exterior of the staves such as would tend to break off their ends projecting beyond the croze. The C. G. refused under s. 7 (1) to accept the specification unless the words "and analogous vessels" were added to the title, or omitted from the specification, on the ground that the title did not in view of the words "and analogous vessels" in the body of the specification, sufficiently indicate the subject matter of the invention.

Appeal to the L. O. (25th May), 1887, allowed. Webster, A. G.—I do not regard this objection as in any way a frivolous one by the Patent Office, or an objection that was not worthy of discussion, but I think that the appeal ought to be allowed. It is quite true that words "analogous vessels" occur in the body of the specification, but it must be taken in conjunction with the whole of the sentence "and also to tubs and analogous vessels in which the staves are formed with a croze or groove for receiving the head or bottom." On turning to the claims, it is plain that they are specific. They do not relate to the vessels themselves, but to a mode of fastening in the heads and bottoms of the vessels, which are composed of staves, and there is a specific direction as to the mode of construction. Under these circumstances I think that the use of the words "analogous vessels" in the body of the specification in connection with the word "tubs," and the statement as to the way in which the invention